ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

Cr. B.A. No.S-96 of 2015.

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

1. For order on office objection.

2. For hearing.

<u>30.09.2015</u>.

DATE

Mr. Nisar Ahmed Durrani, Advocate for the applicant.

Applicant is present on interim pre-arrest bail.

Mr. Shahid Ahmed Shaikh, A.P.G.

Mr. Muhammad Hayat Mughal, Advocate for the complainant. =

NAZAR AKBAR, J.- Applicant is involved in Crime No.291 of 2014, registered with Police Station A-Section Latifabad, under sections 489-F and 506 P.P.C.

2. Facts of the case in brief, are that complainant and applicant were friends and due to this relationship complainant had given Rs.10,00,000/- to the applicant as a loan. Thereafter, in order to return the said amount, the applicant issued him a cheque of Rs.1000,000/-, however, on presentation in the Bank same was returned on the ground that the account for which the cheque was issued was closed. Therefore, the complainant lodged F.I.R.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant after going through the provisions of section 489-F PPC seems to be agreeable to furnish surety equivalent to the entire amount mentioned in the F.I.R. for confirmation of bail. The applicant has already been admitted to interim pre-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs.500,000/-. The F.I.R. reveals that the cheque which was issued and returned due to closed account was of Rs.10,00,000/-. Learned counsel seeks 20 days' time to furnish additional surety of

Rs.5,00,000/-. Learned counsel for the complainant as well as learned A.P.G. has no objection.

4. In view of above, the interim pre-arrest bail already granted to the applicant by order dated 28.01.2015 is hereby confirmed subject to furnishing additional surety in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- (Rupees five lac) and P.R. Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of Additional Registrar of this Court. However, the bail application should be listed in Court on 21.10.2015, on which date the applicant should be present in Court for formal confirmation about furnishing of the additional surety. In case of failure to furnish additional surety within the stipulated period, this pre-arrest bail application shall be deemed to have dismissed.

JUDGE

S