

*ORDER SHEET*  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.**  
Cr. Acq. A. No.S-58 of 2015.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

1. For order on M.A. No.7799 of 2015.
2. For order on M.A. No.7472 of 2015.
3. For orders on office objection.
4. For order on M.A. No.7473 of 2015.
5. For order on M.A. No.7474 of 2015.
6. For katcha peshi.

07.10.2015.

Mr. Asad Jahangeer Arain, Advocate for the appellant.  
=

1. Urgency granted.
2. Dismissed being infructuous.

3 to 6. Through the instant criminal acquittal appeal, the appellant has impugned the judgment dated 18.08.2015, passed by the learned Assistant Sessions Judge, Sanghar in Sessions Case No.29 of 2012, whereby he acquitted the accused/respondents No.1 and 2 under section 265-H(i) Cr.P.C.

The learned counsel for the appellant claims that while passing the impugned judgment, the learned trial Court failed to appreciate the evidence of the prosecution witnesses, however, he has failed to pinpoint such evidence and even he has not filed the certified copies of such evidence alongwith the instant appeal. Since no reference has been made to the evidence which, according to learned counsel for the appellant, has been misread by the trial Court, no case is made out for interference with the impugned judgment. Accordingly, the criminal acquittal appeal is dismissed being devoid of merits, alongwith the applications listed at Sr. Nos.4 and 5.

JUDGE