ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P.No.S-795 of 2015.

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 1. For orders on office objection.
- 2. For katcha peshi.

29.09.2015.

Mr. Suleman Dahri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anwar H. Ansari, State Counsel alongwith SIP Sohail Sarwar on behalf of SSP Hyderabad and ASI Hadi Bux Lashari of Police Station Baldia.

=

Mr. Shaukat Ali Pathan Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of private respondents No.4 and 5, whereas learned State Counsel files statements of respondents No.1, 2 and 3, same are taken on record. Copies of statements provided to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks protection against the alleged harassment, which is being caused by the private respondents.

Apparently there is a dispute between the petitioner and the private respondents. No petition lies against the private respondents. If the private respondents are committing any illegal act, which may constitute a cognizable offence the petitioner should lodge F.I.R. before the police and in case of refusal to lodge such F.I.R. he shall file an application under section 22-A & B Cr.P.C. before the Justice of Peace. However, the official respondents are hereby directed not to cause any

harassment to the petitioner and shall not take any action against him at the behest of private respondents unless they made out a case, prima face, for legal action. They are further directed to act strictly in accordance with law.

With the above observations, the petition is disposed of.

JUDGE