

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

SUIT No.476/2014

Date

Order with signature of Judge

1. For hearing of CMA No.3854/14
2. For hearing of CMA No.3856/14
3. For hearing of CMA No.6787/14
4. For hearing of CMA No.12349/14

11.12.2014

Mr. Raja Qasit Nawaz advocate for plaintiff

Mr. Ahmed Pirzada advocate.

Mr. Shabbir Shaikh, advocate.

Mr. Ghulam Nabi advocate

Mr. Najibullah, advocate

Ms. Naheed Naz, AAG.

.....

The parties present before this Court admit that there are serious disputes about the title of the plaintiff and defendant No.6. Both the parties, pending this issue, are directed to maintain status quo on the property and since it is covered by a boundary wall there is every likelihood of encroachment or use of muscle power by the parties against each others as the nature of property is such which could be utilized as commercial multi-storey building. In the circumstances, when the parties have been directed to maintain status quo, to ensure that they should not disturb status quo which may lead to unnecessary contempt applications against each others, the security guards have to be posted.

The Official Assignee is directed to take fresh photographs of the premises within one week and appoint four security guards two each at the cost of the plaintiff and defendant No.6. However the appointment of security guards should be by consent of the parties or the parties should provide two security guards each to be posted there and if none of them is willing to give consent or name of the security agency, the Official Assignee will not

appoint security guards on behalf of the defaulting party. The cost of the security guards will be borne by the plaintiff and the defendant No.6 who are supposed to depute the guards of their choice and the four guards will file joint report with the signature of four security guards to the Official Assignee every month that the property is intact.

To come up after winter vacations.

Imran/PA

J U D G E