

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

C.P. No.S.773 of 2014.

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

<u>04.09.2014.</u>	For Katcha Peshi. For orders on MA-12021/13
--------------------	--

Mr. Rafique Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Abbasi, Advocate files power on behalf of respondent No.3, which is taken on record.

=====

Learned counsel for the Petitioners has filed this petition without being aggrieved by the impugned appellate order. He has read out the impugned order whereby the appellate Court has maintained the decree of the trial Court and specifically directed that the dowery articles mentioned in exhibit P/2 to P/6 should be returned to the respondent No.3.

The learned counsel for the petitioner is trying to explain the contents of exhibit P/2 to P/6. He contends that his client is ready to return all the dowery articles but there are certain anomalies in the list of articles and exhibits P/2 to P/6 which are required to be clarified, hence this petition. I am afraid this is precisely a question of fact. However, since the parties are agreeable to return the dowery articles, the petitioner may take the issue of double entry of dowery articles in the executing Court.

In view of the above circumstances, this petition is dismissed in limine along with pending applications.

JUDGE.