

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Suit No.884 of 2006

Date	Order with signature(s) of Judge(s)
------	-------------------------------------

1. For hearing of CMA No.3138/2013 (U/s.151 CPC)
 2. For order on CMA No.12768/2013 (U/s. 151 CPC)
 3. For orders on CMA No.11947/2013 (U/o. XXVI R-4 CPC)
 4. For hearing of CMA No.480/2013 (U/s.151 CPC)
 5. For hearing of CMA No.7310/2012 (U/o.XVI Rule 1 CPC)
-
- 18.02.2014.

Plaintiff No.2 present in person.
Mr. Abdul Ghaffar Muhammad, advocate for the Defendant No.2.
Mr. Khalid Siddiqui, advocate for the Defendant No.4.
Defendant No.4 present in person.
Mr. Zain-ul-Abideen, Secretary Sindh Bar Council.

Defendant No.4 present in person seems to be seriously disappointed due to delay in the proceeding since 21.6.2006. The Plaintiff No.1 has engaged counsel Mr.Jamshed Qazi, who is not reported to be an advocate of this Court as alleged by her. Secretary Sindh Bar Council present in Court, he was called when the Defendant No.4 produced certain order showing that the Bar Association has taken action against Mr. Jamshed Qazi, advocate who posed himself to be the counsel for the Plaintiff No.1. In the morning hours when this case was called Mr. Javed Qazi, advocate tried to mishandle the Defendant No.4 and shouted on her, the Court interrupted and when asked the learned counsel on whose behalf he is appearing he says that his power is available on record. The case was kept aside to be taken up after tea break. Now Mr. Jamshed Qazi is not present in Court and the client of Mr. Abdul Wajid Wyne, advocate says that he has gone to collect his card of membership. However, he is not present and the Secretary Sindh Bar Council was called. Documents shown by the lady to the Court are

handed over to the Secretary Sindh Bar Council with a request to verify whether Mr. Jamshed Qazi, advocate as of today is an enrolled advocate of High Court even if he is not, the Secretary Sindh Bar Council is directed to ensure compliance of all legal requirements against him.

Defendant No.4 vehemently opposed the request for adjournment and the counsel for the Plaintiff No.2 is reported to be unwell, which again goes to the root of her grievance that the Plaintiffs are avoiding to contest this matter on merits, therefore, case is adjourned for three days. To come up on 21.2.2014, on which date case will be heard and decided even in the absence of the parties and their counsel even if they were found indispose. Parties are directed to engage another counsel to represent them if their counsel could not attend to ensure that the case is proceeding. Secretary Sindh Bar Council may obtain copy of this order by his own efforts.

JUDGE

SM