IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI

Suit No. 1604 of 2010

Date

Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of CMA Nos.

| 1. | 9629/2012 (U/s.151 CPC) |
|-----|---|
| 2. | 9469/2012 (U/o.1 Rule 10 CPC) |
| 3. | 5000/2011 (U/o.1 Rule 10 CPC) |
| 4. | 11034/2010 (U/o.39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC) |
| 5. | 11035/2010 (U/o.39 Rule 5 CPC) |
| 6. | 11036/2010 (U/o.40 Rule 1 CPC) |
| 7. | 11677/2011 (U/o.37 Rule 3 CPC) |
| 8. | 12704/2010 (U/o. 7 Rule 11 CPC) |
| 9. | 11678/2011 (U/o. 37 Rule 11 CPC) |
| 10. | For examination of the parties / settlement of issues |

<u>10/12/2013</u>:

Mr. Ahmed Madni, advocate holds brief for Mr. Abdur Rehman, Advocate for the Plaintiff.

Mr. Usman Shaikh, Advocate for Defendant No.1.

Mr. Naveed Ahmed, advocate holds brief for Mr. Muhammad Saleem Mangrio, Advocate for the Defendants No.2, 3 & 4.

Mr. Asif Abbas, Advocate for the Defendant No.6.

It is pointed out by the learned counsel for the parties appearing in Suit No.1604/2010 state that said suit has nothing to do with Suit No.1239/2010. The cause of action and the parties are different. It seems to have been wrongly tagged at the request of certain learned counsel. Office is directed to separate these two suits and make sure that these suits are fixed on separate dates. In case of failure of the office to de-tag and place them on separate dates will entail disciplinary action against the concerned clerk.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE