

ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

Constitution Petition No. D-723 of 2026

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

- 1. For orders on M.A No.3657/2026 (U/A).
- 2. For orders on office objections 'A'.
- 3. For orders on M.A No.3658/2026 (E/A).
- 4. For orders on M.A No.3659/2026 (S/A).
- 5. For hearing of main case.

03.09.2026

Mr. Muhammad Yousif Narejo, Advocate for petitioner.

- 1. Urgency application is granted.
- 2 to 5. The instant petition seeks quashment of FIR No.61 of 2024, registered for offences punishable under Sections 324 and 34, PPC. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the FIR was lodged in abuse of the process of law and that, the complainant and eyewitnesses were allegedly introduced the name of applicant, with malafide intention. It is further contended that improvements were made by the prosecution. The petitioner has also prayed for quashment of the proceedings, restraint against his arrest, production of the investigation record by the Investigating Officer, and transfer of investigation to a competent police officer by the DIGP.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon the grounds taken in the petition and submits that the petitioner also requires protection. He has placed reliance upon a copy of the case diary of the trial Court, uploaded through CFMC, whereby, according to him, the bail application of the petitioner was confirmed. He, therefore, seeks quashment of the FIR, change of investigation, and protection against arrest.

Heard and perused.

At the outset, the grounds agitated by learned counsel do not constitute sufficient grounds for quashment of the FIR. It is a part of the investigative process to identify and ascertain the involvement of persons connected with the occurrence, and cannot, at this stage, be made a basis for quashing the FIR.

So far as the prayer for protection and change of investigation is concerned, an adequate remedy is already available to the petitioner under Sections 22-A and 22-B, Cr.P.C., whereby the learned Justice of Peace is vested with jurisdiction to consider appropriate directions regarding the transfer of investigation and, where warranted, protection against harassment.

It is also significant that the petitioner has sought a direction restraining his arrest, whereas, according to learned counsel himself, his bail has already been confirmed. The reliance placed upon the case diary of the trial Court, uploaded through CFMC, in support of such confirmation renders the prayer for protection against arrest misconceived.

In view of the foregoing, no case for exercise of the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court for quashment of the FIR or for the other reliefs sought by the petitioner is made out. Consequently, this petition is dismissed in *limine*.

JUDGE

JUDGE