

HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

CP No.S-497 of 2026

Petitioner: Dr. Nadia present in person.

Respondents No.1to7: Province of Sindh and others through Mr.Allah Bahcayo Soomro, Additional Advocate General Sindh and Ms. Rameshan Oad, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh along Tarique Raza Dharejo DIGP Hyderabad Region, Inspector Naseem Nisar Memon Focal person Tando Muhammad Khan, DSP Legal Ilyas Qureshi PPSP Hyderabad and ASI Ghulam Shabbir Abro I.O. of the case.

Respondent No.8: Rizwan Rind (Head Mohrar) PS City Now posted at PS Shaikh Bhirkio through Mr.Khalid Hussain Hingoro, Advocate, who files his Vakalatnama today, taken on record.

Respondents No.9to17: None present.

Date of hearing: 07.09.2026.

Date of decision: 07.09.2026.

ORDER

ZULFIQAR ALI SANGI, J: - Through this petition, the petitioner has prayed as under:-

- a) Direct the respondents No.2 to 5 to provide protection to the petitioner as provided under the law and ensure the safety of the lives, honor and prestige of the petitioner and family members and also ensure that no harassment will be caused to the petitioner and her family.*
- b) Restrain respondents No.6 to 16 and all persons acting on their behalf from threatening, harassing, intimidating, abducting, unlawfully confining the petitioner and her family members.*
- c) Any other relief(s) which this Honorable Court deems fit, just and proper in favor of the petitioner.*

2. The instant Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, has been filed by a

Gazetted Medical Officer seeking protection of her life, liberty, honour and dignity, alleging continuous threats, harassment and violence by the private respondents arising out of a family dispute. The petitioner further challenges the alleged police inaction, registration of a counter-FIR, custodial harassment of her family members and preparation/acceptance of a police report whereby both cross-cases were disposed of in C-Class. She asserts that despite approaching the police authorities for protection, the threats and harassment is continued, she seeks directions for protection and restraint against the respondents from threatening, harassing, intimidating, abducting or unlawfully confining her and her family members.

3. Pursuant to notices issued by this Court, SSP Tando Muhammad and the SHO, P.S. Tando Muhammad Khan, submitted their comments/reports. In the comments, Senior Superintendent of Police, Tando Muhammad Khan, has reported that FIR No.213/2026 and counter-FIR No.215/2026 were registered on 27.05.2026 and 28.05.2026 respectively and the nominated accused in both cases were arrested and remanded to judicial custody. It is further reported that investigations in both matters were finalized under "C" Class, which was subsequently accepted by the learned Civil Judge & Judicial Magistrate-I, Tando Muhammad Khan, vide orders dated 19.06.2026, on the complainants' no-objection. The police have also stated that necessary legal protection shall be provided to the petitioner whenever she approaches the police station.

4. The petitioner, appearing in person, contends that she and her family members are continuously being threatened, harassed and intimidated by the private respondents with collusion of police officials, while Respondent No.09, who is an Accountant in the DC Office, Tando Muhammad Khan, by using his influence, caused a false counter-case/FIR No.215/2026 to be registered against her and her family members. She further alleges police collusion and coercion in the matter and sought protection of her and her family members' lives, liberty and honour.

5. Learned A.A.G. Sindh contends that the police officials are legally bound to provide protection to the petitioner and her family members and to ensure that no harassment is caused to them. The DIGP Hyderabad Range present in person on call of the Court assures the Court that an impartial inquiry into the allegations would be

conducted and that necessary protection would be extended to the petitioner and her family members in accordance with law.

6. The allegations raised by the petitioner, particularly regarding misuse of authority by the Respondent No.09 and alleged involvement/inaction of police officials, are serious in nature and, therefore, warrant an impartial inquiry. Accordingly, the DIGP Hyderabad Range is directed to conduct a fair, impartial and transparent inquiry into the allegations against the police officials including revenue employee and, if any official is found responsible for misconduct or dereliction of duty exerting pressure upon petitioner, in any manner, to initiate appropriate departmental action in accordance with law. He shall also ensure that the petitioner and her family members are provided due protection and are not subjected to any harassment or intimidation. The inquiry report shall be submitted before this Court through the learned Additional Registrar within thirty (30) days from receipt of this order.

7. In view of the above, the instant petition stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

JUDGE

Abdullahchanna/PS