

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

Criminal Revision Application No.S-101 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
-------------	--------------------------------------

For hearing of MA No.6797/2026.

07.09.2026

Mr. Muhammad Sachal R.Awan advocate for applicant.

Ms. Rameshan Oad, Deputy Prosecutor General, Sindh.

ZULFIQAR ALI SANGI, J: - Through the captioned Criminal Revision Application, the applicant has challenged the judgment dated 27.06.2022, passed by the learned Sessions Judge-IV, Dadu in Criminal Appeal No.09/2022, whereby maintained the judgment dated 28.01.2022 passed by learned Assistant Sessions Judge, Dadu with modification of fine Rs.5000/- instead of Rs.50,000/-. The learned trial Court convicted and sentenced the applicant in Crime No.93 of 2020 registered at Police Station Johi, for an offence under Section 25 of the Sindh Arms Act, 2013 to undergo R.I. for four years and to pay fine Rs.50,000/- and in case of default he was directed to undergo S.I. for six months more. However, the benefit of Section 382-B Cr.P.C. was extended to the appellant.

2. The instant Criminal Revision Application was dismissed by this Court vide order dated 05.11.2025, whereby perpetual non-bailable warrants were issued against the applicant/accused. However, it was observed that, upon his arrest, the applicant/accused would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for restoration of the Criminal Revision Application, which would be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with law.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, the applicant/accused appeared before this Court and filed Miscellaneous Application No.6797 of 2026 seeking restoration of the instant Criminal Revision Application. Vide order dated 04.09.2026 the applicant/accused was taken into custody and remanded to judicial custody. Learned D.P.G. Sindh, for the State, raised no objection to the restoration of the Criminal Revision Application. In view of the circumstances, the application for restoration is **allowed** and the

instant Criminal Revision Application is **restored** to its original position.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant, after arguing the matter at some length, submitted that the offence pertains to the year 2020 and the applicant has already remained in jail for a considerable period. He further states that the applicant is not inclined to contest the revision application on merits and leaves himself at the mercy of the Court. He prayed that if this Court is not inclined to acquit the applicant, it may consider reducing the sentence to the period already undergone. In such circumstances, he does not press the criminal revision application on merits.

5. Conversely, learned Deputy Prosecutor General Sindh opposed the acquittal of the applicant but raised no objection if a lenient view is taken and the criminal revision is dismissed by modifying the sentence to the period already undergone.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned D.P.G. for the State and perused the record. The instant criminal revision application has remained pending before this Court since 2022 and the applicant has been facing the proceedings since 2020. The applicant has already undergone a considerable period of the imprisonment. It appears that the applicant has learned his lesson and there is no legal impediment in accepting his request.

7. Accordingly, in order to provide the applicant with an opportunity to reform and rehabilitate himself and to reintegrate into society as a productive citizen, while maintaining the conviction, the sentence awarded to the applicant is reduced to the period already undergone including payment of fine.

8. The instant Criminal Revision Application is, therefore, **dismissed**, with the modification that the sentence shall be treated as one already undergone. The applicant is in custody; he shall be released forthwith, if not required in any other custody case.

JUDGE