

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P. No.D-1518 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE
------	-------------------------------

For order on office objection.
For hearing of main case.

08.09.2026

None present for the petitioners.

Mr. Rafique Ahmed Dahri Additional Advocate General Sindh along with Dr. Zain-ul-Abdin, Chief RMO Services Hospital Hyderabad, Inspector Tofique Ahmed on behalf of SSP Jamshoro and ASI Nizakat Ali PS Site Kotri.

Through instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the ossification/age determination report, mainly on the ground that, according to petitioner No.1, the report placed before this Court is fake as she was neither called nor served with any notice to appear before the Medical Board on the date fixed for her ossification examination.

However, today respondent No.6, Medical Superintendent/Civil Surgeon, Services Hospital Hyderabad, has submitted his para-wise comments/report, stating that the Special Medical Board was constituted by the Director General, Health Services Sindh, Hyderabad, pursuant to the directions of the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Jamshoro. It is further reported that the date of examination of the abductee Mst. Sonia D/o Imran was fixed on 24.06.2026 at Services Hospital Hyderabad and, on that date, she was produced by the concerned Police Authorities and examined by the Members of the Special Medical Board. Her photograph is also available in the age-determination/ossification file maintained by the hospital, while the proceedings/report of the Board were forwarded to all concerned vide letter dated 20.07.2026.

Respondent No.2/SSP District Jamshoro, in his comments/report, has stated that FIR Crime No.103/2026, registered at P.S. Kotri under section 365-B, PPC and sections 3, 4 & 5 of the Child Marriage Act, 2013, was investigated through a JIT constituted under the supervision of DSP/SDPO Kotri in terms of section 9(ii) of the Anti-Rape (Investigation and Trial) Act, 2021. Upon completion of

investigation, section 365-B, PPC was deleted and the Investigating Officer submitted report under section 173, Cr.P.C. under sections 3, 4 & 5 of the Child Marriage Act, 2013 before the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Jamshoro. It has further been reported that the learned Court, vide order dated 07.08.2026, directed that:

“Hence, the FIR alongwith final report be returned to the investigation officer for submission the same before the court of learned concerned Magistrate having exclusive jurisdiction for further proceedings in accordance with law.”

Learned A.A.G. has also submitted that the report under section 173, Cr.P.C. has already been submitted before the learned trial Court.

The record furnished by respondent No.6, particularly the constitution of the Special Medical Board, the date of examination, production of the abductee by the concerned Police Authorities, availability of her photograph in the relevant hospital record and forwarding of the Board's proceedings, *prima facie* does not support the assertion that the ossification examination was fictitious or that the report was fabricated. Further, on perusal of the petition, it reveals that the petitioner in para No.10 also admitted the Medical Board examined petitioner No.1 on 24.06.2020 and then issued a certificate expressing an opinion that her age appears to be about 17 years.

As per the comments of respondent No.2, the criminal case has already been investigated, a report under section 173, Cr.P.C. has been submitted and the learned trial Court has passed an order regarding its presentation before the Court of competent jurisdiction. Thus, the matter is already seized by the competent forum where all questions relating to the investigation, evidence and legality/correctness of the reports can be considered in accordance with law.

In view of the above position, the instant petition is **disposed of** with the observation that the petitioner shall be at liberty to raise all lawful objections regarding the ossification report, age determination, service/production for medical examination and other connected matters before the learned trial Court/competent Court, which shall consider the same strictly in accordance with law and on the basis of the material available on record.

JUDGE

JUDGE