

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Constitutional Petition No. D-5485 of 2026
(Gul Hassan Ghirano versus Province of Sindh & others)

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

Before:
Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon
Mr. Justice Muhammad Jaffer Raza

Date of hearing and order: 03.9.2026
M/s Ashfaq Ahmed Memon and Rubina Shah advocates for the petitioner
Barrister Zohaib Zulfiqar Sarki, Additional AG
Mr. Sajid Gujjar, DSP (Legal)
Mr. Abdul Malik Kolachi, DSP (Legal) on behalf of AIGP (Legal)
PI Mazhar Ali Kango, SHO Thatta
PI Muhammad Shahzad Ilyas, SHO Gharo
SI Muhammad Imran, Sakro
ASI Naseem Ahmed, PS Dhabeji

ORDER

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J. Petitioner has filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, with the following prayer: -

- a. To direct the Respondents No.2 to 8 take strict legal action against the Respondent No.9 to 17 for their illegal, unlawful, illegitimate and unconstitutional actions.*
- b. To call the respondents No.9 to 17 before this Court in person and restrain them from causing harassment, issuing threats, blackmailing to the Petitioner, his family members and his relatives without any lawful justification.*
- c. To direct the respondent No.9 to abstain himself from causing harassment, misuse his power and authority of black coat as well as Designation of General Secretary as well as President of Concerned Bar Association against the Petitioner, his family members and his relatives without any lawful justification.*
- d. To direct the respondents No.1 to 8 to provide the protection and safeguard to the life, liberty and Properties of the Petitioner and his family members as envisaged Under Articles 9, 10, 18, 23, 24 and 25 of the Constitution of Pakistan.*
- e. To restrain respondents No.5 to 8 from lodging any kind of FIR as well as filing of Complaints against the Petitioner, his family members as well as his relatives without prior permission of this Court.*
- f. To direct the respondent No.18 to conduct an inquiry against the respondent No.9 and suspend/cancel the license of the respondent No.9 being involved in illegal, unlawful unconstitutional activities as well as misuse of black coat's power and authority.*

2. The petitioner, Gul Hassan Ghirano, alleges that private respondents No.9 to 17, who are related to each other and include an Advocate, are harassing, threatening and attempting to forcibly dispossess him and his family from their house and agricultural property. He alleges that they have repeatedly visited his house, assaulted and threatened his family, lodged false and fabricated FIRs and complaints against him, and used social media to defame him. He further claims that despite approaching the police and other authorities, no effective action or protection was provided. He has therefore invoked Article 199 of the Constitution seeking legal action against the private respondents, protection of his life, liberty

and property, restraint against further harassment and false FIRs, and disciplinary action against respondent No.9.

3. The private respondents are not in attendance and even they have not filed their comments rebutting the allegations. However, the police respondents state that the petitioner and private respondents No.10 to 17 are relatives and are involved in a dispute over agricultural land.

4. Respondent No.5 states that FIR No.180/2026 was registered and that, after investigation, the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was submitted and the matter is presently under trial. Respondents No.6 to 8 deny any harassment by their respective police stations and state that legal protection will be provided to the petitioner in accordance with law whenever approached.

5. In view of the above, the allegations raised by the petitioner primarily relate to a private dispute between the parties concerning agricultural property, along with criminal proceedings already pending before the competent Court.

6. Since FIR No.180/2026 has already been investigated and the report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. has been submitted, this Court cannot interfere with or prejudge the merits of the pending criminal proceedings, which shall be decided by the competent Court strictly in accordance with law. Likewise, any dispute relating to ownership, possession or other civil rights of the parties shall be adjudicated by the competent civil forum in accordance with law.

7. The police is however, directed to ensure that neither the petitioner nor the private respondents and their respective family members are subjected to any harassment, intimidation, threats or unlawful interference, and that no coercive action is taken against either party except strictly in accordance with law and pursuant to lawful proceedings.

8. The police shall maintain neutrality and shall provide protection to any party whenever approached, subject to the requirements of law.

9. With these observations and directions, this Constitutional Petition stands disposed of. The parties shall be at liberty to avail their remedies before the competent criminal and civil Courts, which shall decide the matters pending before them independently and strictly in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE