

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

Cr. Bail Application No.S-963 of 2026
(Roshan Ali and others versus The State)

Criminal Bail Application No.S-970 of 2026
(Muhammad Yaqoob and others versus The State)

Date of hearing: **12-10-2026**

Date of order: **12-10-2026**

Mr. Muzafar Lakhair Advocate for applicants along with applicants on interim pre-arrest bail in Criminal Bail Application No.S-963 of 2026 and for complainant in Criminal Bail Application No.S-970 of 2026

Mr. Sajjad Ali Gopang Advocate for applicants along with applicants on interim pre-arrest bail in Criminal Bail Application No.S-970 of 2026 and for complainant in Criminal Bail Application No.S-963 of 2026

Mr. Nazar Muhammad Memon, Addl.P.G Sindh.

ORDER

OMAR SIAL, J:- Through this common order, I intend to dispose of Criminal Bail Application No. S-963 of 2026, filed by applicants Roshan Ali and 11 others, seeking pre-arrest bail in Crime No. 327 of 2026, registered at Police Station Badin, District Badin, for offences punishable under Sections 324, 114, 147, 148, 149 and 504, P.P.C., and Criminal Bail Application No. S-970 of 2026, filed by applicants Muhammad Yaqoob and four others, seeking pre-arrest bail in the same crime for offences punishable under Sections 324, 147, 148, 149 and 504, P.P.C.

2. The facts and circumstances of the case are available in the respective bail applications and the FIR; therefore, the same are not being reproduced herein for the sake of brevity.

3. I have heard the applicants' learned counsel, the complainant's learned counsel, and the learned Additional Prosecutor General Sindh. My observations and findings are as follows:

- i. Both cases arise out of the same occurrence and constitute counter-versions of the incident.*
- ii. The accused in one version are the complainant in the other.

- iii. The sections which have been invoked are bailable except Section 324 PPC.
 - iv. Whether section 324 PPC has been satisfied or not requires further inquiry at this stage.
 - v. It is yet to be seen at trial as to which party was the aggressor and which party was aggressed upon. Keeping in mind the principles enunciated in Tariq Bashir and 5 others v. The State (PLD 1985 SC 34), I do not see any exceptional or extraordinary reason to deny the applicants the concession of pre-arrest bail.
4. Given the above, both bail applications are allowed and the interim pre-arrest bail earlier granted to the applicants is confirmed on the same terms and conditions.

JUDGE

Ali Haider