

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, AT KARACHI

Crl. Bail Application No. 900 of 2025

Before:

Justice Zafar Ahmed Rajput

Justice Miran Muhammad Shah

Applicant	:	Mst. Gul Nisa w/o Karim Jan, through Mr. Shaukat Hayat, Advocate.
Respondent	:	The State, through M/s. Muntazir Mehdi, Acting P.G. & Ali Hyder Saleem, Addl. P.G., along with I.O/P.I., Umar Farooq of CTD Intelligence -----
Date of hearing	:	27.10.2025
Date of order	:	27.10.2025 -----

ORDER

ZAFAR AHMED RAJPUT, J:- Through instant Criminal Bail Application, applicant/accused Mst. Gul Nisa seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No. 142/2024, registered at P.S. CTD Malir, Karachi under sections 302, 353, 324, 186, 427, 34, PPC read with Section 3/4 Explosive Substances Act, 1908 (“**Act of 1908**”) and Sections 7, 11-F, 21-I of the Anti-Terrorism Act, 1997 (“**Act of 1997**”). Her earlier application for the same relief bearing No. 25 of 2025 was dismissed by the Anti-Terrorism Court No. XII, Karachi (“**Trial Court**”), vide order dated 22.03.2025.

2. It is alleged that, on 07.10.2024, Inspector Kalim Khan Moosa, S.H.O., P.S. Airport, sent a statement under section 154, CrPC to W/ASI Rana Muhammad Rizwan of P.S. CTD Malir, Karachi for registration of FIR to the effect that, on 06.10.2024, during patrolling in the area, he heard a loud explosion at 2300 hrs., on that he along-with duty officer Asif Tanoli, W/HC, Malik Zaheer and PC Bilal Yousuf reached the occurrence located at the road in front of CAA guardroom near outer signal, Jinnah International Terminal, Karachi, where he saw various destroyed and burning vehicles and injured Rangers and police officials and many persons; that he called fire brigade, ambulance, bomb disposal unit, crime scene unit and police aid; that one Chinese national, two dead bodies of Chinese national were lying near a coaster vehicle,

one dead body of unknown person was lying in Sewerage Nala near the road, which were sent to JPMC for legal proceedings; that the persons at spot stated that an unknown terrorist attacked targeting a convoy of Chinese citizens by using Hi-Lux vehicle borne improvised explosive device; that the secret agencies informed him that the responsibility for the suicide attack was accepted by the Bloch Liberation Army "Majeed Brigade" through their spokesperson Junaid Bloch from their social media channel HAKKAL; that the mastermind of the alleged incident were (1) Commander Bashir Ahmed Bloch @ Bashir Zeb s/o Abdullah Khan (2) Abdul Rehaman @ Rehaman Gul s/o Mir Mohammad Afzal who, along with their accomplices and facilitators, carried out the alleged attack in order to rescind the relations between Pakistan and China, to affect the country's security and economic activities, which has also caused insecurity of the people and lowering the morale of the national security institutions; that after the incident, S.I. Sher Muhammad reached the South City Hospital and met with the injured **(1)** Chinese Nationals D wu Choanxin **(2)** Umar **(3)** Raja Sher Ali **(4)** Rangers personnel Habibullah; that at JPMC Hospital Karachi, the dead bodies of two Chinese national, namely, LI Jun and Sun Huazhen and one unknown person were brought; that the victim Tamatar was undergoing treatment at JPMC Hospital, besides **(1)** Waqar Ahmed **(2)** Muhammad Ilyas **(3)** Muhammad Naeem **(4)** Umar Tariq **(5)** Rano Khan **(6)** Azeem **(7)** Mst. Taslim **(8)** Ali **(9)** Hamza and **(10)** Sabihuddin received MLC reports regarding their medical treatment, while the dead body of terrorist suicide bomber was kept as AMANAT in Sohrab Goth Mortuary for handing it over to his heirs; that as a result of the explosion, the affected and destroyed vehicles were **1.** Toyota REVO, **2.** CX-5801- Toyota VIGO, **3.** CV-3590 Police Mobile, **4.** Sazgar Rickshaw No. D-1525134, **5.** Sazgar Rickshaw D-17-25290, **6.** Car No.AGM-251, **7.** Suzuki Alto Car unknown Number, **8.** Land Cruiser V-8, No. BG-0969, **9.** Toyota REVO No.KZ-6009, **10.** Coaster No. JF-8981, **11.** Land Cruiser V-8, No. GR-921, **12.** Suzuki Alto No. BYW-950, **13.** Toyota Vigo **14.** Suzuki Alto Car unknown number, **15.** Toyota Hi-lux No. KW-0375, Chassis No. MROFU29 G904008778 of suicide attacker/terrorist; that the unknown suicide attacker/terrorist, his accomplices,

the above-mentioned facilitators and others unknown perpetrators in furtherance of their common intention, committed murder of the Chinese nationals and others, caused injuries to above-named injured and damage to above-mentioned vehicles by using their aforesaid improvised Hi-Lux, for that, the aforementioned FIR was registered.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has contended that the applicant is innocent and has falsely been implicated in this case by police malafidely; that nothing incriminating has been recovered from the possession or pointation of the applicant; that the Trial Court while rejecting the bail plea of the applicant completely ignored the principles for the grant of bail to lady-applicant by misreading and nonreading of the material on record; that neither the name of the applicant appears nor any role has been assigned to her in the FIR; that the applicant has actually been arrested from her house located in Hub, Balochistan, and she never ever admitted her association with any proscribed organization and in this regard the prosecution's assertion is inadmissible under Articles 38 & 39 of the Qanun-e-Shahadat Order, 1984; that the applicant has no concern with the BLA; that the alleged CCTV footage has no nexus with the suicidal attacker Shah Fahad; that there is no iota of evidence with the prosecution to justify the allegations of facilitator, aider or abettor under Section 21-I of the Act of 1997 against the applicant; that the role attributed to the applicant in charge-sheet, punishable under Sections 7 and 11-F of the Act of 1997 for a term not exceeding R.I for six (06) months is bailable, while offence under the provisions of the Act of 1908 are not attracted against the applicant; that the guilt of the applicant requires further inquiry; hence, she is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. On the other hand, learned Acting P.G. has opposed the grant of bail to the applicant on the ground that she is involved in a heinous offence of terrorism, that her role in the alleged offence is that of abettor and facilitator of co-accused Shah Fahad who, committed a suicidal attack at the convoy of Chinese national outside Jinnah International Airport; that sufficient evidence is available with the prosecution to connect the applicant with the commission of the alleged offence; hence, she is not entitled to the concession of bail.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned Acting P.G. and perused the material available on record with their assistance.

6. It appears from the perusal of the record that during the course of investigation, on 11.11.2024, I.O/Inspector Muhammad Umar Farooque of CTD, arrested the applicant/accused Mst. Gul Nisa and co-accused Muhammad Jawed on spy information that they were involved in the aforesaid crime and belonged to the proscribed organisation BLA. They allegedly facilitated carrying out the airport attack and got the Hi-Lux vehicle, used by the deceased suicide attacker Shah Fahad in the attack, crossed from Balochistan to Karachi. On 18.11.2024, said I.O re-interrogated the applicant, during which she disclosed that on 04.10.2024 she had arrived from Hub city to Hub Toll Plaza, Northern Bypass, Karachi, along with her accomplice deceased suicide attacker Shah Fahad through his Vigo vehicle in order to avoid the toll plaza checking. The I.O, sent a letter to the Director IT/In-charge, Central Command and Control, CPO Karachi so that the recording of the CCTV cameras of the date of disclosure in the shape of USB could be obtained as undeniable evidence. On 20.11.2024, the I.O, having taken into consideration the interrogation reports of the applicant and said co-accused, submitted a request to the competent authorities for verification of the hotel records in Balochistan through the Hotel-HI App, on the basis of the CNIC numbers of both accused persons, for the purpose of collecting evidence. They both made admissions and disclosures to the effect that, after the suicidal attack, while absconding, they had stayed at a hotel in Balochistan. During investigation, I.O collected CDR of cell phones of the applicant and co-accused Javed, that reflects connectivity between them. During investigation, it also transpired that the applicant, along with co-accused/abators resided and conspired the alleged act of terrorism in Flat No. T-6, of Lashari Building, Liaquat Basti, PIB Colony, Karachi and from the said flat the I.O collected DNA samples and explosive material. As per expert report, DNA collated has matched with applicant accused as well as the explosive material samples has matched with explosive substance used at the place of incident. The record also suggests that, on 04.10.2024, the

applicant, while facilitating the deceased accused of the instant case, namely, Shah Fahad, travelled in the vehicle owned by the said deceased accused and along with him she crossed the Hub Toll Plaza from Hub City, Balochistan, so as to enable her to evade checking by law-enforcement agencies by taking advantage of the fact that she was accompanying him as a woman. In view of the aforesaid disclosure, the CCTV camera recordings pertaining to 04.10.2024 were obtained separately in a USB from the Director IT/In-charge, Central Command & Control Centre, Karachi. The said USB was taken into possession under a duly prepared and sealed seizure memo, incorporated into the investigation and the statement of the concerned witness was recorded accordingly. On 04.11.2024, the suspected explosive tape was deposited at Industrial Analytical Centre (IAC), University of Karachi, vide sample code No.25659 for chemical analysis of explosive substances, so as to establish the nexus of the applicant and said co-accused with the deceased accused Shah Fahad.

7. So far, the contentions of learned counsel for the applicant are concerned, the act of the applicant apparently comes within the ambit of aiding and abetting an offence of committing murders of three persons, including two Chinese nationals, through terrorist attack, attracting the relevant provisions of PPC and Act of 1997. *Prima facie*, the applicant willfully provided material support and surveillance for the alleged terrorist act.

8. From the tentative assessment of the material available on record, it appears that the prosecution has sufficient evidence against the applicant to connect her with the commission of the alleged offence; therefore, she is not entitled to the concession of bail; hence, this Criminal Bail Application is dismissed.

9. Needless to mention here that the observations made hereinabove by this Court are tentative in nature and the same shall not influence the Trial Court while deciding the case of the applicant on merits. The Trial Court is directed to proceed with the matter expeditiously, and in case it appears after examination of

material witnesses that fresh grounds for grant of bail are available to the applicant, she may repeat the bail plea before the Trial Court.

10. Above are the reasons of our short order dated 27.10.2025.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Athar Zai