

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.
Cr. Bail Appl. No.1111 of 2026
(Muhammad Ameen vs. The State)

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of Bail Application.

13.05.2026

Mr. Asif Ali Jokhio, advocate for applicant
 Mr. Sagheer Ali Solangi, advocate for complainant
 Mr. Ali Haider Salim, Addl: PG Sindh a/w DSP Irshad Ahmed and Inspector Nabi Bux, IO of the case

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant is seeking post arrest bail in a case bearing Crime No.282/2024, registered at Police Station Malir City, u/s 302, 34 PPC by means of this application.

2. This is a case of blind murder, complainant has alleged in the FIR that on 17.04.2024 he was on his duty in Port Qasim as a Computer Operator and tried to contact with his wife Mst. Asma but in vain. After making much efforts and intimating his mother-in-law to know the reasons, he reached his home and found his wife missing. Subsequently, his dead body was found available in a water tank in the house. Applicant has a vegetable shop in the area and was identified to be the culprit on the basis of 161 CrPC and 164 CrPC statements of two witnesses Muhammad Azeem and Farhan whom he had contacted before committing the offence to make them accomplice in the crime. Besides, his CDR location has been verified in the investigation, which confirms his presence on the spot at the relevant time.

3. Learned defence counsel has pleaded for bail and argued that applicant is in jail without any evidence for the last two years; the Court is lying vacant, and there is no eye witness to the alleged incident. In support of his contentions, he has relied upon the case laws reported in **2022 SCMR 523, 201 SCMR 301, 2022 SCMR 547, 2022 YLR 104, 2026 SCMR 99, 2022 SCMR 1** and **PLD 1995 SC 34.**

4. On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant and learned Addl: PG Sindh have opposed the bail.

5. The record reflects that first bail application (Cr. B.A. No.2458/2024) of the applicant was dismissed on merits vide order dated 18.11.2024. In the said order, however, the trial Court was directed to examine PWs Muhammad Azeem and Farhan, with whom *prima facie* applicant had tried to hatch a conspiracy to commit robbery in the house of deceased and murder her. In compliance, both the witnesses have been examined and their evidence is available on record, which

shows that *prima facie* they have supported the factum of conspiracy and the intention of the applicant to commit robbery and murder the deceased. Initially, the applicant tried to woo them to commit the offence but they refused. The case otherwise is at the final stage, and only the evidence of IOs, who are present in person today, is to be recorded. But, meanwhile, the trial Court has become vacant, however, to meet the situation the learned defence counsel has filed a transfer application, which is pending before the Honourable Chief Justice.

6. Be that as it may, *prima facie*, there appears to be reasonable grounds to believe that applicant is involved in the alleged crime, as the aforesaid witnesses have *prima facie* supported the prosecution case against him. More so, all the witnesses have already been examined. The case is posted for recording evidence of IOs; therefore I do not find the applicant at this stage to be entitled to concession of bail. However, if the transfer application is allowed and the case is transferred to another Court, IOs shall appear before the said Court without fail for recording of their evidence, and the Court to decide the case after that within two months without fail.

7. Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

J U D G E

Rafiq/P.A