

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI
C.P. No. D-2504 of 2017
[Lal Muhammad Samoo v. Province of Sindh and others]

| | |
|------|----------------------------------|
| Date | Order with signature of Judge(s) |
|------|----------------------------------|

Before:
Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon
Mr. Justice Zulfiqar Ali Sangi

Date of hearing and Order: 04.05.2026

Syed Mureed Ali Shah, advocate for the petitioner.
Syed Ali Ahmed Zaidi, Addl. A.G Sindh.

ORDER

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J. –5 Petitioner Lal Muhammad Samoo has filed this Constitution Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973, seeking the following relief(s):-

- “a) *direct the Respondents directly or indirectly through anyone acting on their behalf, from harassing, threatening, insulting, blackmailing, terrorizing, taking any coercive action and implicating Petitioner/co-owners and their families in any false case and/or FIRs;*
- b) *restrain Respondents from interfering with the possession of agricultural land bearing Survey No.133/2-5, Survey No.134/1-4, 135/58&6 and 136/586 measuring 45-24 Acres situated at deh / tapo Gharabari, taluka Ketu Bunder, Thatta district of the Petitioner's in any manner;*
- c) *direct Respondents to provide safety & protection of life and property of the Petitioner/co-owners and their families;*

2. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner is not seeking any relief as to possession or declaration as to title. He only claims protection from harassment by the official respondents. Learned counsel submits that this case be only confined to the harassment caused by the official respondents and the petitioner would not press clause (b), which factum is already recorded vide order dated 25.04.2017.

3. Learned AAG has refuted the stance taken by counsel for the petitioner on the plea that the title of the petitioner is disputed, therefore, no relief can be granted so far as the ownership of the subject property and declaration thereof is concerned. However, the petitioner shall not be harassed by the official respondents subject to all just exceptions as provided under the law. So far as the title of the petitioner is concerned, the parties are at liberty to seek appropriate relief from the Court of competent jurisdiction.

4. Without touching the merits of the case, the instant petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE