

# HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT HYDERABAD

## CrI. Revision Application No.S-181 of 2022

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE(S)
------	----------------------------------

1. For orders on office objection (s)
2. For orders on M.A No.12591/2022
3. For hearing of main case

**30.04.2026**

Ms.Fareeda Naz, Advocate for the applicant  
Ms.Sana Memon, A.P.G for the State

\*\*\*\*\*

Learned counsel for the applicant/complainant-Sajad Hussain Chandio, submits that the applicant is aggrieved by the impugned order dated 15.09.2022, passed by the learned Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Provincial), Hyderabad in Direct Complaint No.18 of 2021. Learned counsel contends that respondent/accused-Mst.Fozia, sister of the applicant, in collusion with respondent No.2-Pathan Kaka and respondent No.3-Sub-Registrar, Qasimabad, allegedly committed fraud and procured fabricated documents to mutate the property, thereby triggering criminal liability and entitling the applicant to initiate proceedings under Section 200, Cr.P.C. against the proposed accused/respondents.

Learned A.P.G. submits that no error is discernible in the impugned order, as the entire dispute is of civil in nature. She further submits that respondent No.3-Sub-Registrar, produced original certified documents before the learned Special Judge, Anti-Corruption (Provincial), Hyderabad, who passed the impugned order based on the record and that no case for initiation of criminal proceedings is made out.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant and A.P.G. This criminal revision was instituted in the current Court on 20.12.2022 and since its' very first hearing date, the criminal revision has remained pending on the point of maintainability. On 26.03.2026, this bench issued notice to the learned A.P.G for assistance.

I have perused the documents available on record. The applicant-Sajad Hussain Chandio, has admitted that he gifted the subject property to his mother, Mst. Nargis Hassan. The documents produced by the Registrar further reveal that Mst.Nargis Hassan thereafter transferred the property to her daughter-Mst. Fozia (respondent No.1). If any fraud was subsequently committed, such an allegation ought to have been raised in the first instance either by Mst.Nargis Hassan or by her daughter respondent No.1-Mst.Fozia. However, neither has come forward, nor has any complaint been lodged on their behalf to substantiate the applicant's assertions. The applicant by his own admission gifted the subject property to his mother and thereafter has no reason to complain about the transfer of the subject property by his mother/sister to respondent No.2-Pathan Kaka.

Given the above, the matter appears to be purely a civil dispute between the applicant and his mother and sister. I find no irregularity warranting interference in the said order, which is well-reasoned and consistent with the record. Accordingly, this Criminal Revision Application is **dismissed**.

**JUDGE**