

ORDER SHEET  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD.

R.A.No. 109 of 2025

---

<b>DATE</b>	<b>ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE</b>
-------------	--------------------------------------

---

1. For hearing of CMA 1790/2025.
2. For hearing of main case.

27.04.2026.

This revision has been filed by the applicant-plaintiff Haseeb Ahmed against the order dated 26.07.2025 passed by the VIIth Additional District Judge, Hyderabad in Summary Suit No.31/2023. None are in attendance before this bench. No intimation is received. It appears from the perusal of record available in the revision that the applicant-plaintiff had filed an application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC praying for impleading his wife Mst. Tanzila Talpur as co-plaintiff. It is apparent from the order that the cheques in question which had been dishonoured were issued by the defendant No.2 in favour of plaintiff and none else. In the circumstances, no cause is made out to implead the spouse who is a stranger to the Summary Suit under Order 37 CPC. Nothing has been demonstrated either in the application or affidavit to implead the spouse of the plaintiff given the contours of Order 37 CPC to implead her as a co-plaintiff. She has no locus standi to claim any sum when no cheque was ever issued in her favour. The presence of the spouse, Mst. Tanzila is neither necessary nor proper to be impleaded as a co-plaintiff in the Summary Suit when she is not the recipient of the alleged bounced cheque. The impugned order well articulates this reason and rightly dismissed the application filed by the applicant-plaintiff. I do not find any reason to interfere in the impugned order dated 26.07.2025.

Given the above this revision application is dismissed along with listed application.

JUDGE

