

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Cr. Bail Application No.2020 of 2026

Present:
Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro
Mr. Justice Muhammad Abdur Rahman

Applicant:- Sharjeel @ Dada through Mr. Umar Farooq Khawaja,
Advocate.

Respondent:- The State through Mr. Ali Anwar Kandhro, Additional
Prosecutor General a/w Inspector Makhdoom
Moinuddin and SIP Ismail Mir, Focal Person,
AVCC/CIA, Karachi.

Date of hearing:- 10.08.2026

Date of decision:- 10.08.2026

=====

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: As per FIR, on 08.11.2020 when complainant after withdrawing an amount of Rs.5000/- from ATM, Al-Habib Bank, Main Usman Memorial Chowk, Azizabad, Karachi came out was intercepted by two robbers who robbed him of his mobile and cash. Meanwhile one person in plain clothes came and introduced himself as police official. He tried to arrest the accused persons but they fired on him injuring him critically. In the meantime, a police mobile of PS Azizabad arrived at the spot, they engaged in cross-firing with the accused persons and killed an accused, whereas other accused made his escape good. The injured police official namely PC Fayyaz Khan was shifted to hospital but succumbed to injuries and died.

2. Such FIR was registered and after six days accused namely Pervaiz, who had escaped from the spot was arrested and was identified in the ID parade by the complainant. It is alleged that he in interrogation revealed name of the applicant to be their accomplice. Reportedly co-accused Pervaiz has been awarded life imprisonment and therefore his case has been separated.

3. Learned counsel for applicant has pleaded for bail on the ground that except statement of co-accused no evidence is available to involve the applicant in this case. This ground *prima facie* does not seem to have been adequately rebutted by learned APG or the IO present in the

Court who have yet opposed bail to the applicant. Although, the IO has asserted that name of the applicant was disclosed by co-accused during interrogation but he could not produce the interrogation report when asked to show. As per record, 161 CrPC statement of complainant was recorded after six days in which even he did not disclose presence of a third person at the spot.

4. We, therefore, are of the view that case against the applicant requires further inquiry and it is yet to be established whether the applicant has rightly been implicated in this case or not. We, consequently, accept this bail application and allow bail to the applicant subject to furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.2,00,000/- and PR Bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

5. The Bail Application is disposed of accordingly. The observations herein above are tentative in nature and shall not affect the case of either party on merits before the trial Court.

hanif

JUDGE

JUDGE