

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR

Constitution Petition No.S-174 of 2026
(Mst. Imam Zadi Vs. P.O Sindh & others)

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

Hearing of Case
1.For orders on o/objections
2.For hearing of main case

29-06-2026

Mr. Abdul Naeem Pirzada, Advocate along with petitioner.

Mr. Israr Ahmed Shah, Assistant A.G a/w SIP Dhani Bux on behalf of DIGP, Sukkur and SSP, Sukkur, SIP Ali Murad on behalf of SSP, Khairpur, SIP Ghulam Nabi of P.S, Wada Machiyoona and ASI Muhammad Eisa Tunio of P.S, Kandhara.

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J:- Petitioner Mst. Imam Zadi has filed this Constitutional Petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking directions to respondents No.3 to 6 to take efforts for the safe recovery of alleged detainees from the custody of private respondents, as detainees are in illegal and unlawful custody for several days.

This Court vide order dated 23.06.2026 directed the police officials to produce the detainee Mst. Shabana, along with baby Fatima, before this Court and in compliance with the order whereof Mst. Shabana, along with baby Fatima, has been recovered, and their custody has been handed over to the petitioner, who is present in Court and, being satisfied, seeks disposal of the instant petition; however, she states that private respondents are causing harassment to her; therefore, appropriate directions may be issued to them not to cause any sort of harassment to them.

Learned AAG present in Court along with official respondents undertakes that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner and alleged detainees.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, the learned Assistant Advocate General, and perused the record. The principal relief sought through the instant petition was the safe recovery and production of the alleged detainees. In compliance

with the order of this Court dated 23.06.2026, Mst. Shabana and baby Fatima have been recovered and produced before the Court, whereafter their custody has been handed over to the petitioner. The petitioner, being satisfied with such compliance, does not press the petition on merits and only expresses apprehension of possible harassment at the hands of the private respondents.

Since the relief claimed in the petition has already been substantially achieved, no lis survives requiring further adjudication in the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court. However, keeping in view the statement made by the learned Assistant Advocate General on behalf of the official respondents that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner or the recovered detainees, the official respondents are directed to ensure that no person interferes with their lawful liberty or extends any form of harassment or intimidation. In case of any future grievance or cognizable offence, the petitioner shall be at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the instant Constitutional Petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

J U D G E

Ahmad