

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR.
C.P No. S-163 of 2026

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
------	---------------------------------------

- 1. For orders on office objections.
- 2. For hearing of main case.

19.06.2026

Mr. Achar Khan Gabol, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Saeed Ahmed Wassan, AAG, along with SIP Ahmed Ali Shar,
SHO Police Station 'A' Section Sukkur, and ASI Mumtaz Ali
Pathan, in charge of Police Post Launch Moar.

-.-.-.-.-

ORDER

Adnan-ul-Karim, Memon, J. The petitioner, Ghulam Ali has invoked the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking protection against alleged harassment by private respondents Nos. 6 and 7 and official respondents Nos. 4 and 5.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that, due to a dispute relating to a vehicle allegedly purchased from his brother, the private respondents, in collusion with police officials, repeatedly visited his residence, threatened and harassed him and his family, and on 01.06.2026 unlawfully took him to P.P. Launch Moar, P.S. "A" Section Sukkur, where he was detained for several hours and pressured to hand over vehicle documents or pay Rs.166,000/-. The petitioners counsel maintained that such actions violated his fundamental rights and sought directions restraining the respondents from further harassment, providing protection to him and his family, and initiating action against the concerned officials. He prayed to allow this petition.

3. In response to notices, learned counsel appearing for private respondents Nos. 6 and 7 denied the allegations, contending that the petition is not maintainable and stating that any dispute of the parties would be pursued before the competent Court having jurisdiction. The police officials present in the court also denied having harassed the

petitioner and undertook that no such harassment would be caused in the future.

4. In the circumstances of the case, the private respondents have categorically denied the allegations, while the police officials, present in Court, have unequivocally stated that neither have they harassed the petitioner in the past nor do they intend to do so in the future. Such a statement, made before this Court, carries legal sanctity, and the concerned officials are bound to adhere to the same. However, the private respondents shall act strictly in accordance with law.

5. Nevertheless, every citizen is entitled to protection of life, liberty, dignity, and property in accordance with law, and no person can be subjected to harassment, coercion, or interference except through due process. Therefore, it is directed that the official respondents shall act strictly in accordance with the law and shall not cause any unlawful interference, harassment, or coercion to the petitioner or his family members without lawful justification. At the same time, the petitioner, if aggrieved by any future unlawful act, shall be at liberty to avail the remedies available under the relevant civil and criminal laws.

6. Accordingly, the petition stands disposed of with the observation that any dispute between the parties shall be resolved before the competent forum in accordance with the law. However, in the event any complaint of unlawful harassment or misuse of authority is brought before the competent authorities and found to be substantiated, the Inspector General of Police, Sindh, shall ensure that appropriate departmental and legal action is taken against the delinquent officials strictly in accordance with law.

7. This petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Irfan