

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, BENCH AT SUKKUR**

Constitution Petition No.D-578 of 2025  
(Mst. Zeb-un-Nisa Vs P.O Sindh & others)

|                        |                                       |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>Date of hearing</b> | <b>Order With Signature Of Judge.</b> |
|------------------------|---------------------------------------|

**Before**

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J,**  
**Abdul Hamid Bhurgari, J,**

**15.06.2026**

Mr. Sohail Ahmed Khoso, Advocate for petitioner  
Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Naich, Assistant A.G Sindh

\*\*\*\*\*

**Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J;-** The petitioner has invoked the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan, 1973, seeking release of family pensionary benefits and implementation of the order passed in C.P. No.D-1519 of 2021 filed by the late husband of the petitioner.

2. The learned AAG submits that all admissible pensionary benefits have already been released in favour of the petitioners and nothing further remains payable. It is further stated that, in case of any grievance, the petitioners may approach the competent forum in accordance with the law.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, contends that the petitioners are not in proper contact, though no outstanding dues have been pointed out.

4. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and the material placed on record, it transpires that the grievance of the petitioner pertains to the release of family pensionary benefits and implementation of the order passed in C.P. No.D-1519 of 2021.

5. The learned Assistant Advocate General has categorically stated that all admissible pensionary dues have already been disbursed in favour of the petitioner and that nothing further remains outstanding against the respondent department. No

specific deficiency or unlawful withholding of any remaining amount has been pointed out by the petitioner, nor has any documentary material been brought on record to rebut the stance of the official respondents.

6. It is well-settled that once the admitted and admissible pensionary benefits stand fully released in accordance with law, and no subsisting legal entitlement is shown to have been withheld, the constitutional jurisdiction of this Court under Article 199 of the Constitution is not required to be exercised further, particularly when no continuing illegality or mala fide action is demonstrated.

7. In these circumstances, and in the absence of any disputed outstanding claim, the petition has been rendered devoid of adjudication on merits. However, it is clarified that the respondents shall ensure continued payment of the monthly pension to the petitioner strictly in accordance with the law and without any interruption.

8. Resultantly, the petition is disposed of in the above terms.

**J U D G E**

**J U D G E**