

**ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.**

**Cr. Bail Appl.No.1183 of 2026**

**Cr. Bail Appl.No.1184 of 2026**

**Cr. Bail Appl.No.1185 of 2026**

**Cr. Bail Appl.No.1186 of 2026**

Presents:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro

Mr. Justice Miran Muhammad Shah

**20.05.2026**

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Satti, advocate for applicant.

Mr. Abrar Ali Khichi, Addl.P.G.

Rana Khalid Hussain, advocate for Ranger.

**ORDER**

**MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO** J:- Allegedly applicant was arrested on 11.12.2023 in Crime No.109 of 2012, registered under section 353, 324, 427, 34 PPC at P.S. Kharadar, Karachi South. During investigation of which he admitted his involvement in Crime No.66 of 2012, u/s 302, 353, 324, 353, 186, 34 PPC R/w Section 7 & 21 of ATA, 1997 P.S. Kalakot, Karachi South, and hence was arrested in that case.

2. He was also arrested in Crime No. No.67 of 2012, u/s 353, 324, 353, 427, 186, 34 PPC, 3/4 Explosive Act R/w Section 7 ATA, P.S. Kalakot, Karachi South, as his name appears in the list of accused mentioned in the FIR. He was also arrested in Crime No. 70 of 2012, u/s 302, 353, 324, 427, 186, 34 PPC 3/4 Explosive Substances Act, R/w Section 7 & 21 of ATA, 1997 P.S. Kalakot, Karachi; in Crime No. 73 of 2012, u/s 302, 353, 324, 427, 186, 34 PPC 3/4 Explosive Substances Act, R/w Section 7 & 21 of ATA, 1997 P.S. Kalakot, Karachi on the basis of his alleged admission of involvement in these cases. In all the FIRs, it is generally alleged that members of the Liyari Gang, which include him had made indiscriminating firing at different spots of Liyari area at the relevant time and as a result of which different peoples were killed or injured, hence the different FIRs under the relevant provisions of law were registered.

3. Learned defence counsel has pleaded for bail on the grounds that the applicant is in jail for more than two and half years and the case is still posted for framing of amended charge. The FIR No. 67 of 2012 in which applicant's name is mentioned, co-accused whose names are also mentioned like him have been granted bail by the trial Court on the ground that no specific role has been assigned to them

and their names transpire in FIR on the basis of spy information, as admittedly there is no eye witness of the incident.

4. Learned Additional Prosecutor General and learned counsel for the Ranger have opposed bail on the ground that applicant has remained absconder. Further that he is a member of the Liyari Gang and serious allegations have been leveled against him.

5. Be that as it may, we are of the view that the applicant is entitled to bail on a number of grounds including the delay in conclusion of the trial, the rule of consistency in the case in which his name transpires in FIR alongwith co-accused, who have been granted bail by the trial Court, not the least when such concession to co-accused has not been challenged by the prosecution. As the record shows, in some of the cases even charge has not been framed, although more than two and half years have passed since applicant is incarcerated. The witnesses which prosecution had examined before arrest of the applicant, as per the trial Court, prima-facie, had not deposed against any of the accused specifically; and that their names were given in the FIRs only on the basis of spy information.

6. In so far as involvement of the applicant in the cases is, in which his name does not appear, it is driven by his alleged confession before the police officials, the veracity of which is yet to be weighed in the trial. Hence, we find that the applicant is entitled to the concession of bail and therefore, the applicant is granted bail subject to his furnishing a solvent surety separately in each cases to the tune of Rs.100,000/- and P.R. bond in the same amount to the satisfaction of the trial court.

7. The observations made herein above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

8. The aforesaid Cr. Bail Applications are disposed of.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**