

## IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Saleem Jessar  
Mr. Justice Nisar Ahmed Bhanbhro

### **Constitution Petition No. D-6484 of 2024** (Syeda Maria Raza v. Pakistan Bar Council and others)

Petitioner : In person

Respondent Nos.1 & 11 : *Nemo*

Respondent Nos.2 & 3 : Ms. Zahrah Sahar Vayani, Assistant  
Attorney General

Respondent No.4-10 : Through Mr. Abdul Samad Memon,  
Advocate

Date of hearing : 30.01.2026

Date of judgment : 30.01.2026

### JUDGMENT

**Muhammad Saleem Jessar, J:-** Through instant petition the petitioner has claimed the following relief:-

- a. To declare that the impugned Office Order dated: 09.01.2024 violated to petitioner's rights under article 4, 10A, 14 & 25 of Constitution of Islamic Republic of Pakistan.
- b. To declare that the impugned Office order dated: 09.01.2024 is based on malafide intention, discrimination and retaliation by Respondents to petitioner's efforts to ensure transparency in the affairs of Respondents.
- c. During the pendency of this petition, suspend the operation of Office Order dated: 09.10.2024 and direct the Respondent No.04 to allow the petitioner to resume her educational activities including sitting in all upcoming exams.
- d. Call the entire record and proceedings and minutes of meeting of 09.10.2024 of the Disciplinary Committee, which has been made basis of impugned Office Order dated: 09.10.2024.
- e. Set aside the Office order dated: 09.10.2024.

2. When confronted as to how petitioner was causing undue embarrassment for the University administration, petitioner undertook that she will abide by the University laws and will not lodge any complaint and will fully concentrate on her education.

3. Learned counsel for the University argued that the petitioner has filed numerous complaints misbehaving the teachers, her retention in the University was creating problems and she was provoking students to take law in hands.

4. Learned Assistant Attorney General supported stance of the counsel for the University.

5. Heard arguments and perused the available record.

6. The respondent University has cancelled admission of the petitioner due to her misconduct and threatening attitude and she was banned from the entering into the University.

7. Since the petitioner has undertaken to abide by the University laws, regulations and discipline and is ready to undertake that she will not cause any harassment to the teachers or embarrassment for the administration this petition is allowed, the order dated 09.10.2024 is *set aside*. The admission of the petitioner is continued subject to condition that she will file undertaking with the University administration that she will abide by the rules and regulations and will not file any complaint against the University teachers and will mend her behaviour to be proper and reasonable with the teachers, students and administration. On restoration of admission, the petitioner shall be allowed to attend the University, in case petitioner again violates the code of conduct of the University, administration shall be at liberty to take any action against the petitioner in accordance with law.

8. Petition stands disposed of in the above terms. Office is directed to send copy of this order to the University administration for compliance.

**JUDGE  
HEAD OF CONST. BENCHES**

**JUDGE**