

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
HYDERABAD**

C.P. No.D-2044 of 2025
[Kalsoom Vs. Province of Sindh & others]

BEFORE:
Mr. JUSTICE ADNAN-UL-KARIM MEMON.
MR. JUSTICE RIAZAT ALI SAHAR.

Mrs. Razia Ali Zaman Patoli, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, Additional Advocate General, Sindh
along-with Abdul Karim, Senior Superintendent Central Prison
Hyderabad and Shakeel Baig, Assistant Superintendent Central
Prison Hyderabad.

==

Date of hearing & decision: **11.12.2025**

O R D E R

RIAZAT ALI SAHAR, J.- Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the sentence of the petitioner's husband was reduced by this Court while deciding Criminal Appeal No. D-95 of 2020 vide judgment dated 29.01.2025, whereby his conviction under section 302(b), PPC was converted to section 302(c), PPC and the sentence of life imprisonment was reduced to rigorous imprisonment for fourteen years. However, the compensation amount of Rs.500,000/- was maintained with the same consequences for non-payment as mentioned in the impugned judgment. Therefore, his case does not come within the ambit of Shah Hussain's case as reported in **PLD 2009 SC 460** and Nazar Hussain's case reported in **PLD 2010 SC 1021**.

Learned counsel further contends that she is not satisfied with the remission calculated and awarded to the petitioner's husband by the jail authorities, therefore, they may be directed to calculate the remission properly in accordance with the Jail Manual.

We have examined the available record. It appears that the petitioner's husband has undergone a sentence of thirteen years, ten months, and eleven days, and the remaining portion of his substantive sentence is one year, one month, and nineteen days. With regard to the

compensation amount, in case of non-payment, he is required to undergo an additional one year of simple imprisonment.

In view of the above, as to whether the remission granted to the petitioner's husband has been calculated strictly in accordance with the Jail Manual or otherwise, the Inspector General of Prisons is directed to scrutinize the matter and furnish a report to this Court through the Additional Registrar within one month.

Instant petition stands **disposed of** in the above terms.

Let copy of this order be communicated to Inspector General of Prisons as well as learned AAG for compliance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Muhammad Danish