

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI
Constitutional Petition No. S-118 of 2026
(*Zeeeshan Ali v Province of Sindh & others*)

| | |
|------|-------------------------------|
| Date | Order with signature of Judge |
|------|-------------------------------|

Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon
Mr. Justice Zulfiqar Ali Sangi

Date of hearing and order:-16.2.2026

Syed Ali Ahmed Zaidi advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Abdul Jalil Zubedi AAG along with
Ghulam Ahmed Shaikh DSP/SDPO Sukkhan
on behalf of SSP Malir and Khalid Memon
SHO Sukkhan and SIP Noor Muhammad of PS Sukkhan

ORDER

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J Petitioner Zeshan Ali has filed this petition under Article 199 of the Constitution of 1973 with the following relief:-

- a. *Direct and restrain the Respondents and their agents from harassing, threatening, intimidating, or coercing the petitioner or any member of his family in any manner, including through false or fabricated FIRs, arrest, or detection.*
- b. *Direct the respondents to ensure the personal safety, security, and peaceful living of the petitioner and his family and to refrain from interfering in their lawful business, occupation, or daily life.*
- c. *Direct the respondents to act strictly within the bounds of lawful authority and prevent any misuse of police power for personal or private interests unrelated to the petitioner or his family.*

2. It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that Respondent No.4, acting at the behest of Respondents No.1 to 3 and Respondent No.5/ private person, has been harassing and intimidating the petitioner and his family, despite the fact that neither the petitioner nor his family members are nominated in any FIR nor served with any lawful notice for inquiry or investigation. He argued that the repeated threats of illegal detention and coercive action are without lawful authority and violate the petitioner's fundamental rights.

3. Learned AAG submitted that the petitioner's father has been nominated in FIR No.43 of 2026 under Sections 420, 506-B, 147, 148, 504, 337-Ai, and 34 PPC; therefore, appropriate action shall be taken against the nominated accused in accordance with law, no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner and his other family members.

4. In view of the above submissions and after hearing learned counsel for the parties, it is observed that admittedly the petitioner himself and his other family

members are not nominated in FIR No.43 of 2026. The law is well-settled that no person can be subjected to harassment, coercion, or illegal detention without lawful justification and due process of law. The State functionaries are bound to act strictly in accordance with law and cannot misuse their authority for purposes extraneous to the investigation of a criminal case.

5. Since learned AAG has already undertaken that appropriate action shall be taken only against the nominated accused strictly in accordance with law and that no harassment shall be caused to the petitioner or his other family members, if this is the position of the case, this petition is disposed of with the direction that the respondents shall not harass, intimidate, or coerce the petitioner or his family members and shall act strictly in accordance with law. However, the respondents are at liberty to proceed against any person, if so required, in accordance with law and after fulfilling all legal formalities. If they are found to be involved in cognizable offence.

6. The petition stands disposed of in the above terms.

JUDGE

Shafi