

**HIGH COURT OF SINDH CIRCUIT COURT
MIRPURKHAS**

Criminal Bail Application No.S-398 of 2025

Applicant: Anwar s/o Khan Muhammad.
Through Haji Qalandar Bux Laghari,
Advocate.

Respondent: The State
Through Mr. Dhani Bakhsh Mari,
Assistant Prosecutor General Sindh.

Date of Hearing: 16.02.2026

Date of Order: 18.02.2026

<><><><>

ORDER

Miran Muhammad Shah, J- Through instant bail application, the applicant/accused namely Anwar seeks post-arrest bail in Crime No.33 of 2025 for offence under section 23(i)(a) Sindh Arms Act, 2023 registered at Police Station Khan, after dismissal of his bail plea by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Mirpurkhas, vide orders dated 05.12.2025.

2. The details and particulars of the F.I.R are already available in bail application and the F.I.R, as such, need not to reproduce the same hereunder.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant/accused submits that the applicant/accused is innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present case; that the FIR was lodged with the delay of two hours without any plausible explanation; that nothing was recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused and case property has been foisted upon the applicant/accused in order to strengthen the connected/main

crime case. Lastly, he prayed for the grant of bail.

4. Conversely, learned A.P.G. has vehemently opposed the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that the crime weapon has been recovered from the possession of the applicant/accused.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant/accused as well as learned A.P.G for the State and perused the record.

6. From the perusal of record, it appears that the F.I.R. was lodged with a delay of about two hours without any plausible explanation. The alleged recovery of crime weapon from the possession of the applicant/accused is a matter which requires further probe and deeper appreciation of evidence at trial. The plea of false implication and foisting of case property to strengthen the connected/main crime case cannot be ruled out at this stage. The charge has already been framed, and the applicant/accused is behind bars since his arrest, with no further investigation required. Therefore, the applicant is admitted to post-arrest bail subject to furnishing solvent surety in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand only) and a P.R. bond in the like amount to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court.

7. The observations made here-in-above are tentative in nature and would not prejudice the case of either party at the trial.

The application stands disposed of.

JUDGE