

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Cr. Revision Appln. No. S-91 of 2025

Applicant : Rao Arif s/o Rao Irshad Ali Khan @ Chanu
Faqeer, by caste Rajput
Through Ms. Benazir Memon, Advocate

Complainant : Muhammad Umar s/o Muhammad Saleem
by caste Rajput
Through Mr. Deedar Ali Chohan, Advocate

The State : Through Mr. Mansoor Ahmed Shaikh, DPG

Date of hearing : 14.11.2025
Date of Order : 14.11.2025

ORDER

KHALID HUSSAIN SHAHANI, J.— The applicant, Rao Arif Rajput, has invoked the revisional jurisdiction of this Court challenging the judgment dated 25.10.2024 rendered by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Ghotki. The impugned judgment affirmed in all respects the judgment dated 16.10.2025 by the learned 2nd Civil Judge & Judicial Magistrate, Ghotki, convicting the applicant in Criminal Case No.79/2024 arising out of FIR No.264/2025, for offences under Section 489-F, 420, 506/2 PPC, registered at PS A-Section, Ghotki.

2. The applicant stands convicted under Sections 420 and 489-F of the Pakistan Penal Code and sentenced to rigorous imprisonment for a period of three years on each count with sentences ordered to run concurrently. Additionally, fines amounting to Rs.30,000 and Rs.45,000 respectively were imposed, with further default imprisonment for one month in each case. The benefit of Section 382-B Cr.P.C was extended to him.

3. During the pendency of this revision, the parties submitted applications for compromise under Sections 345(2) and 345(6) Cr.P.C., supported by the affidavit of the complainant, Muhammad Umar. The said affidavit attests that following the intervention of respected community elders

(*nekmarks*), the parties have voluntarily and amicably resolved their dispute, free from coercion, duress, fraud or undue influence.

4. Having carefully scrutinized the material on record, this Court is fully satisfied that the compromise has been entered into voluntarily and bona fide by the complainant. Considering that offences under Sections 420 and 489-F PPC are compoundable with the leave of the Court, and given the clear and unequivocal settlement between the parties, there exists no legal bar to accepting the compromise.

5. Accordingly, permission is hereby granted to compound the offences in pursuance of section 345(2) Cr.P.C. The compromise agreement is accepted in terms of Section 345(6) Cr.P.C. Consequent to the above, the applicant is acquitted of all charges. The bail previously granted to the applicant stands cancelled and the surety discharged forthwith.

6. The revision application is accordingly disposed of in terms of the compromise.

J U D G E