

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,  
MIRPURKHAS**

**Crl. Bail Application No.S-270 of 2025**

**Applicants:** 1. Ali Ahmed S/o Abdul Jabbar,  
2. Sawan @ Porho S/o Abdul Jabbar,  
Through Mr. Mujeeb-ur-Rehman Shar, Advocate.

**Respondent:** The State.  
Through Mr. Mazhar Ali Sial, A.P.G.

**Complainant:** Bakhtiar Ali S/o Muhammad Sharif.

**Date of hearing:** 06.11.2025

**Date of Order:** 06.11.2025

**O R D E R**

**Shamsuddin Abbasi, J:** Through this Bail Application, the applicants/accused seek post arrest bail in Crime No.79/2025 for offence under sections 395 and 397 P.P.C of PS Perumal, after their bail plea has been declined by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-II, Sanghar, vide order dated 03.10.2025.

2. Brief facts of the prosecution case are that unknown accused persons snatched cash of Rs.35,26,000/- and mobile phones, therefore, complainant went to PS and lodged F.I.R to the above extent.

3. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that the applicants are not nominated in the F.I.R; he was shown arrested in the said F.I.R; that recovery of cash of Rs.7,00,000/- is foisted upon the applicant Ali Ahmed and recovery of crime weapon has been foisted on applicants in order to strengthen the main case; that the alleged offence does not fall within the prohibitory clause of Section 497 Cr.P.C. In support of his contentions, he relied upon the case law reported in **2004 P.Cr.L.J 1431 [Karachi]**. Lastly, he prayed for grant of bail.

4. Complainant appeared and rely on learned A.P.G. Learned A.P.G submits that during investigation, the applicants were arrested and recovery of huge amount was effected as well as crime

weapons which connect the applicants with the alleged offence which is against the society.

5. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.P.G for the State and perused the record.

6. No doubt, the F.I.R was registered against unknown accused persons but later on, further statement was recorded by the I.O of the complainant on 04.09.2025 whereby he has implicated the applicants alongwith their role. During investigation, police arrested the applicants and robbed cash amount of Rs.7,00,000/- has been recovered from the possession of applicant Ali Ahmed as well as crime weapons were recovered from the possession of applicants which connect them with the alleged offence and this offence is against the society. Sufficient material is available on record which connect the applicants with the commission alleged offence.

7. In view of the foregoing, learned counsel for the applicants/accused has failed to make out a case warranting the grant of bail. Accordingly, the bail application filed on behalf of the applicants/accused is **dismissed**. However, trial court is directed to expedite the matter and conclude the same preferably within 03 months and submit such compliance report through Additional Registrar of this Court. The case law relied upon by the learned counsel for the applicants is distinguishable from the facts of present case.

8. The observations made in this decision are of a tentative nature and will not influence the merits of the case.

**JUDGE**

**\*Faisal\***