

ORDER-SHIFT

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt. Petition No. S- 1036 of 2017.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
17.11.2017.	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For hearing of main case.

Mr. Abdul Hakeem Brohi, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Muhammad Bux Qazi, State Counsel.


~~~~~

Through instant petition, petitioner alleges harassment by official respondents on instance of private respondent and seeks directions to the official respondents for legal protection. Statements filed on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2 are taken on record.

Mr. Zahid Hussain Thaheem, Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of private respondent No.4, which is taken on record. He submits that his client has never caused any sort of harassment to petitioner, nor intend to do so in future. He further submits that, in-fact there is dispute between the parties over purchase and sale of landed property, but the petitioner has filed instant petition by concealing actual facts.

Learned State Counsel contends that the official respondents will act strictly in accordance with law and provide legal protection to the petitioner.

Accordingly, in view above statements the instant petition is disposed of with direction to official respondents that they shall provide complete protection to the petitioner in accordance with law, and to ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioner. However, with regard to dispute in respect of landed property, the parties are at liberty to take such dispute to the relevant forum in proper proceedings. Needless to mention that under the garb of this order, no one shall be allowed to claim any legal character or admission on the count of factual controversy.



JUDGE

Ansari/\*