

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

Cr. Bail Application No.3066 of 2025

Present:

Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro

Mr. Justice Syed Fiaz ul Hassan Shah

Applicant:- Huzaifa Khan Afridi through Mr. Shahab Alam,
Advocate.

Respondent:- The State through Mr. Qamaruddin Nohri, Deputy
Prosecutor General.

Date of hearing:- 04.12.2025

O R D E R

=====

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Applicant was arrested on 12.09.2025 by a police team of P.S. Shah Latif Town, headed by SIP Ghulam Qadir from Doub Road, near Sector 31-C, Shah Latif Town, Karachi and from him allegedly 1050 grams of Charas was recovered, hence this case against him.

2. Learned counsel for applicant submits that the case against the applicant requires further inquiry in that there are contradictions in the statements of witnesses as the complainant states that he had video recorded the incident through his mobile but subsequently has stated that video recording was done from mobile of his subordinate staff, more so, in the margin of the memo of arrest, diary number of P.S. is mentioned which shows that the memo was prepared at the police station and not at the place of incident; the chemical report has not come yet; hence, the concession of bail may be extended to the applicant.

3. On the other hand, learned DPG has opposed the bail stating that there are reasonable grounds to believe that the applicant is involved in this case as the video recording of the incident has been done; the grounds taken by the defence counsel for bail cannot be appreciated at bail stage as they require deeper appreciation of evidence, more so, there are no contradictions as far as 161 Cr. P.C. statements of the witnesses over main features of the case are concerned. We agree with him. The case is recently registered and the incident has been recorded through video by the I.O. which *prima facie* lends support to the allegations of accused being involved in the present case. The grounds raised by learned defence counsel cannot be appreciated at bail stage as they require deeper appreciation of evidence.

4. In view of above, we do not find the applicant entitled to the concession of bail. His bail application is dismissed. The trial court is however, directed to expedite the trial and conclude it within four months, after which, in any case the applicant would be at liberty to repeat application for the same relief, which, if filed, shall be decided on its own merits.

The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

The Cr. Bail Application is disposed of.

JUDGE

HANIF

JUDGE