

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C. P. No.S-106 of 2024

| | |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of Hearing | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|

18.04.2024.

1. For orders on office objection.
2. For hearing of Main Case.

Mr. Nooruddin Mahessar holds brief for Mr. Ali Nawaz Ghanghro, advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Khalil Ahmed Metlo, DPG.

Mr. Abdul Waris Bhutto, Asst. A.G., along with SIP Zulfiqar Ali Mugheri on behalf of SSP, Kamber, SIP Kashif Khan of PS A-Section Latifabad, Hyderabad.

Petitioners and their Counsel are not in attendance. Through this petition petitioners have mainly contended that they being *sui juris* have contracted unceremonial marriage, per free-will of petitioner No.1; however, against the consent of their parents and they have filed this petition for protection against the private respondents, who having gone annoyed on that marriage, are causing harassment to them through official respondents.

Today, statements have been filed on behalf of respondents No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6, which are taken on record. Learned Asst. A.G. as well as learned DPG, submit that official respondents will not cause harassment to the petitioners and will provide protection to them strictly in accordance with law.

In light of the statements filed today by the official respondents No.1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 as well as statements made by the learned AAG and learned DPG, instant petition is disposed of with direction to the official respondents not to cause harassment to the petitioners and to provide due protection to them in accordance with law, as and when approached.

At this juncture, ASI Muhammad Shafi of PS Gharibabad, Mirpurkhas has appeared and submits that the respondent No.8 Mst.Shumaila, who happens to be the mother of petitioner No.1 Mst. Dua, had lodged FIR No.83/2023 at PS Gharibabad, Mirpurkhas, for offence u/s 365-B, 34, PPC. Since the petitioners are not in attendance today,

37
therefore, as far as their requirement/involvement in the above FIR is concerned, the investigation officer of said crime is at liberty to proceed with the investigation in accordance with law.

JUDGE