

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT,
MIRPURKHAS**

Crl. Revision Application No.S-13 of 2025

Applicant: Khetaram S/o Bheero Mal
through Special Attorney,
Sarwan Kumar S/o Bhero Mal,

Through Mr. Bhooro Bheel, Advocate.

Respondents Through Mr. Kanji Mal Meghwar, Advocate.
No.1 to 3:

Date of hearing: 24.09.2025

Date of order: 24.09.2025

O R D E R

Amjad Ali Sahito, J: Through this Crl. Revision Application, the applicant has impugned the judgment dated 13.02.2025 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge-I, Umerkot in Consumer Appeal No.10/2024, whereby the learned Appellate Court dismissed the consumer appeal of the applicant and maintained the order dated 17.08.2024 of the learned Consumer Protection Judge, Umerkot. Being aggrieved, the applicant has filed the present revision.

2. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the impugned judgment is illegal and has been passed without proper appreciation of evidence and law. He prays that the impugned judgment be set aside.

3. On the other hand, Mr. Kanji Mal Meghwar filed Vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents No.1 to 3 which is taken on record. Counsel for the private respondents as well as learned D.P.G have fully supported the concurrent findings of the courts below and prayed for dismissal of this application.

4. Heard and perused the material available on record.

5. From perusal of the record it reflects that the learned Consumer Protection Court, after recording evidence and considering the material passed a detailed and well-reasoned

judgment. The learned Appellate Court on re-appraisal of the entire record maintained the same by giving cogent and convincing reasons. It further appears from the impugned Appellate Court's judgment that the question of limitation under Section 34 of the Sindh Consumer Protection Act, 2014 was specifically examined. Section 34 provides that any person aggrieved by a final order of the Consumer Court may file an appeal within thirty days of such order, failing which the order shall become final. The Appellate Court also observed that the Complaint was dismissed on 17.08.2024, yet the consumer appeal was instituted on 06.12.2024, i.e. after more than eighty days far beyond the prescribed thirty-day period. The learned Appellate Court further observed that the applicant had earlier filed Criminal Revision Application No.14/2024 against the order dated 17.08.2024 which was disposed of as withdrawn on 06.11.2024 with permission to file afresh if permissible under the law. Furthermore, the Appellate Court rightly held that the Consumer Appeal was hopelessly barred by limitation and not maintainable. The applicant has not shown any cogent ground that would justify interference by this Court.

6. In view of above, no illegality or material irregularity pointed out by the counsel for the applicant in the impugned judgment, as such Crl. Revision Application stands dismissed alongwith pending application.

JUDGE

****Faisal****