

ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

C. P. No. S-398 of 2025

| Date | Orders with signature of Judge |
|------|--------------------------------|
|------|--------------------------------|

1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'.
2. For hearing of main case.

03-11-2025

Mr. Muhammad Tariq Abbasi, Advocate a/w petitioner.
Mr. Aftab Nek Muhammad Bhutto, Assistant A.G a/w SIP Ali Dost on behalf of SSP, Larkana and Inspector Gulzar Ali, SHO, PS Dari.
Mr. Sardar Ali Solangi, D.P.G for the State.

Learned Assistant Advocate General has filed comments/statement on behalf of respondents No.2 and 4, which are taken on record. Copies thereof have been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Ashique Ali Kalhoro, Advocate, files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondents No.6 to 9, which is also taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that private respondent No.6, along with other private respondents, is pressurizing the petitioner to contract marriage against her will, and upon her refusal, false criminal cases have been registered against her brothers. He further contends that the official respondents, at the behest of private respondents, are causing unlawful harassment to the petitioner.

Conversely, learned counsel for respondents No.6 to 9 contends that the FIRs have been lodged by the private respondents against the petitioner's brothers, and due to such grudge, the present petition has been filed to pressurize them to withdraw their cases. He denies the allegations levelled against his clients and submits that the private respondents have neither compelled the petitioner to enter into a marriage nor have they caused any harassment. He, however, undertakes that the private respondents shall act strictly in accordance with law and pursue their cases before the competent forums.

The official respondent No.2, in his statement, denies the allegations of harassment and states that there exists a dispute between the parties, who have lodged cases against each other. He submits that neither he nor any official respondent has harassed the petitioner, nor do they intend to do so in future. The official respondents further undertake that protection shall be extended to the petitioner as and when required in accordance with law.

Learned Assistant Advocate General also submits that the official respondents are duty-bound to act strictly in accordance with law and shall ensure that protection is provided to the petitioner whenever required.

After hearing counsel for the private respondents and perusal of the comments and statements filed on behalf of the respondents, counsel for the

petitioner seeks disposal of this petition with directions for protection to the petitioner in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of with the directions to the official respondents as well as the private respondents to act strictly in accordance with law; they shall ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioner; and the official respondents shall provide protection to the petitioner as and when required, strictly in accordance with law. Both the parties shall, however, remain at liberty to pursue their respective cases pending before the competent forums, and this order shall not prejudice or affect any such proceedings.

Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned Additional Advocate General, Sindh, as well as to the official respondents concerned, for information and necessary compliance.

Judge

Irshad Ali M/Steno