

ORDER SHEET

THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

C. P. No. S-188 of 2025

Date	Orders with signature of Judge
	1. For orders on office objection flag 'A'. 2. For hearing of main case.

**03-11-2025**

Mr. Abdul Ghaffar Gopang, Advocate a/w petitioner No.2.  
Mr. Aftab Nek Muhammad Bhutto, Assistant A.G a/w SIP  
Zulfiquar Ali Mugheri on behalf of SSP Kamber and Anwar Ali  
Abro, SHO, PS Wagan.  
Mr. Aitbar Ali Bullo, D.P.G for the State.

\*\*\*\*\*

Learned Assistant Advocate General files report on behalf of respondent No.3, which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners. Mr. Arif Ali Manthar, Advocate, files Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.5 through her attorney, Mrs. Hina Langah, along with parawise comments and power of attorney. The same are taken on record, and a copy of the comments has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Respondent No.1, present in person, has filed a reply to the show-cause notice, which is taken on record. The reply is found satisfactory; accordingly, the show-cause notice is hereby vacated with a note of caution to the said respondent to remain careful in future.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that respondent No.5 is the widow of Ghulam Hussain Mugheri, who was the son of petitioner No.1 and brother of petitioner No.2. He contends that the petitioners are willing to give the respondent No.5 her lawful share in accordance with law and Shariat Muhammadi, however, respondent No.1, at the behest of respondent No.5, has been causing unlawful harassment to the petitioners.

Conversely, learned counsel for respondent No.5 contends that earlier, C.P. No. S-1057 of 2024 was filed by respondent No.5 before the Principal Seat of this Court, which was disposed of with directions to the police officials to ensure protection to the petitioner therein and her family. In that order, it was further directed that the concerned SSP shall obtain a bond in the sum of Rs.500,000/- from the private respondent No.1 (present petitioner No.2) to the effect that no bodily harm shall be caused to the petitioner and her family, and that such bond shall be retained at the concerned police station.

Learned Assistant Advocate General submits that the official respondents are duty-bound to act strictly in accordance with law and shall ensure protection to the petitioners, if and when required.

After hearing the learned counsel for the respondent No.5 and perusal of the comments/statements filed on behalf of the official respondents as well as the parawise comments filed by respondent No.5, counsel for the

petitioners seeks disposal of this petition with directions for protection in accordance with law.

In view of the above, this petition stands disposed of with directions to the official respondents to act strictly in accordance with law; they shall ensure that no harassment is caused to the petitioners; and they shall provide protection to the petitioners as and when required, strictly in accordance with law. So far as the civil dispute regarding inheritance/shares between the petitioners and respondent No.5 is concerned, the parties shall be at liberty to avail appropriate remedy before the competent forum having jurisdiction, in accordance with law.

Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the learned Additional Advocate General, Sindh, as well as to the official respondents concerned, for information and necessary compliance.

*Judge*

*Irshad Ali M/Steno*