ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA

Constt: Petition No.S-396 of 2025

DATE

ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

- 01. For orders on office objection.
- 02. For hearing of main case.

27.10.2025

Mr. Faiz Muhammad Larik, Advocate for petitioner.

In pursuance of the Court's earlier order, Mst. Murk appeared before the Court along with her husband, namely Tahseen (Respondent No. 6), and their child. She submitted that she has contracted marriage with him of her own free will and is living happily with him. She further stated that her father has repeatedly filed complaints before different forums and unnecessarily dragged them into litigation, whereas neither has she been kidnapped nor wrongfully confined.

The police functionaries have also submitted their statements in the same line and produced the statement of the alleged detainee, Mst. Murk, confirming that she has never been kidnapped or abducted.

Mr. Yawar Hussain, learned counsel, has filed vakalatnama on behalf of the respondents and also placed on record a copy of Mst. Murk's free-will affidavit and Nikahnama under the cover of a statement, contending that the instant petition has been falsely filed by the petitioner (father) only to harass and drag the parties into unnecessary proceedings.

In light of the above position, the learned counsel for the petitioner, as well as the petitioner himself, does not press the present petition.

It is pertinent to note that all these facts were already within the knowledge of the petitioner. In his own pleadings, he mentioned that Mst. Murk was allegedly kidnapped in the year 2023; however, even in the year 2025, he continued to allege her illegal confinement despite the fact that she is living happily with her husband. Such conduct reflects that the instant petition is misconceived and frivolous in nature, filed only to disturb the peaceful life of Mst. Murk. The petitioner is cautioned to remain careful in future and to refrain from initiating such false and vexatious litigation or filing any further frivolous

applications, failing which the other side shall be at liberty to initiate appropriate legal proceedings against him in accordance with law. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed being misconceived and frivolous.

JUDGE