

**Order Sheet**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,**  
**BENCH AT SUKKUR**

Const. Petition No.D-223 of 2023

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

**Hearing of Case**

- 1.For orders on o/objections
- 2.For hearing of main case.

**14-10-2025**

Mr. Alam Sher Khan Bozdar, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Samiullah Soomro, Advocate for respondents-Sindh Workers Welfare Board.  
Mr. Zulfiqar Ali Naich, Assistant A.G Sindh.

\*\*\*\*

Through the instant petition, the petitioner seeks regularization of his services on the basis of his appointment made vide office order dated 01.10.2014. A perusal of the said order reflects that the petitioner was appointed purely on work charged basis in Sindh Workers Welfare Board against a specific project i.e. construction of 1504 flats at Labour City, Hyderabad by awarding fix pay of Rs.15000/- (Fifteen Thousand) on temporary basis with the condition that he is liable to be terminated without any notice.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was appointed strictly in accordance with law after completing all requisite formalities and fulfilling the prescribed criteria; hence, he is entitled to be regularized in service in view of his continuous performance and length of service rendered under the said project.

Conversely, learned counsel for the respondents by filing comments submits that the petitioner was engaged only for specific duration of the aforementioned period with regard to subject project,

which has since been completed, and that his services were accordingly terminated vide office order dated 06.09.2016. It is further pointed out that the petitioner has not challenged the said termination order till date; therefore, the present petition is misconceived and not maintainable.

In view of the above circumstances, and since no vested right of regularization accrues in favour of a project-based employee (petitioner) after completion of the project, we find no merit in this petition warranting interference by this Court. Accordingly, the instant petition, along with listed application, stands **dismissed**.

**JUDGE**

**JUDGE**

Ahmad