

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH AT KARACHI
CP No. D-4412 of 2017

(*Lakha Dino & Another v. Province of Sindh & Others*)

DATE: **ORDER WITH SIGNATURE(s) OF JUDGE(s)**

1. For Orders on Office Objection
2. For Hearing of CMA No. 19125 / 2017 (Stay App)
3. For Hearing of Main Case

8-5-2025

Ms. Fareeda Mangrio, Advocate for Petitioners
Mr. Shaharyar Qazi, AAG, Sindh

1. **Sana Akram Minhas J:** The Petitioners have challenged two letters dated 16.6.2017 and 18.6.2017 **(Court File Pg. 129 & 131, Annex P-31 & P-32)**, issued by the Respondents No.4 and 5, whereby the entries pertaining to the subject land, standing in the names of the Petitioners in the record of rights, have allegedly been unilaterally cancelled.
2. Learned Counsel for Petitioners submits that such cancellation has been effected notwithstanding the pendency of Suits No.813 and 911 of 2017, instituted by the Petitioners in this Court and now recently transferred to the competent District Courts. She emphasized that interim injunctive orders dated 29.3.2017, 31.3.2017, and 13.4.2017 **(Court File Pg. 109 to 127, Annex P-28 to P-30)**, are subsisting and continue to operate in favour of the Petitioners in the aforesaid Suits, thereby restraining any adverse action in respect of the said property.
3. Given that the competent civil court is already seized of the subject dispute and has granted interim relief in favour of the Petitioners, this Court considers it appropriate to refrain from exercising parallel or overlapping jurisdiction, which may result in potentially conflicting orders. Any grievance arising from subsequent administrative actions – including the impugned letters dated 16.6.2017 and 18.6.2017 – should therefore be addressed before the said civil forum. The Petitioners are at liberty to either move an application for contempt, alleging violation of the subsisting interim orders, or to initiate independent proceedings before the civil court specifically challenging the aforesaid letters. Accordingly, the instant Petition is disposed of, leaving it open to the Petitioners to avail appropriate remedies before the competent civil court, in accordance with law.

JUDGE

JUDGE