

Order Sheet
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH,
BENCH AT SUKKUR

Const. Petition No.D-1131 of 2024

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge.
-----------------	--------------------------------

Hearing of Case(Priority)

- 1.For orders on office objections
2.For hearing of CMA 4416/24
3.For hearing of main case.

07-05-2025

Mr. Aijaz Ahmed Sipra, Advocate along with petitioner.
Mr. Faiz Muhammad Shar, Advocate for respondents-Town Committee
Pacca Chang.
Mr. Shahriyar I. Awan, Assistant A.G.

Through instant petition, the petitioner has prayed as under:

- “a. To declare that the act of respondents for not taking action against the responsible who are getting salaries on each and every month, but they are hidden from their offices to perform their obligations.
- b. To call the report from the respondents regarding the funding of Local Government of Taluka Faiz Gunj since last 5 years till yet, along with budget of fuels, maintenance, development and contingency, from which the truth will be come on surface.
- c. To direct the respondents to maintain the Taluka Faiz Gunj and provide proper drainage, street lights and other facilities for which they are responsible immediately and keep clean the whole city in future without further delay.
- d. To call the report from the respondent No.10 that since last 5 years to which and for what purposes he issued the bills or amount to every officer or any other.
- e. To restrain the respondents No.10 & 11 to stop the amount of Town Committee Pacca Chang and not issue any bill to any officer to Town Committee Pacca Chang, till the finalization of issue for misusing the budget of Town Committee Pacca Chang”.

Pursuant to notices, respondents No.7 to 9 filed their comments, wherein allegations of the petitioner have been denied. From perusal of record, it reflects that petitioner has not named the persons who are

getting salaries, but are not discharging their duties in the department as being asserted in the prayer clause-A. In respect of remaining prayer clauses, tentatively we are of the view that this petition has been filed with the sole object to blackmail the respondents, nothing else.

Furthermore, the petitioner being General Member/Councilor of Town Committee, Pacca Chang has always a remedy to raise voice against his above allegations at the time of meeting of Council, which has not been done by him and he has directly approached this Court by filing instant petition. Such conduct too reflects that this petition was filed with *mala fide* intentions. Result thereof, instant petition being meritless is hereby **dismissed** along with listed application(s).

JUDGE

JUDGE

Ahmad