ORDER SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No.S-81 of 2025

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
Fresh case	
1 🗖 1	

1. For orders on office objection

2. For hearing of main case

<u>28-04-2025</u>

Petitioner No.1 Mst. Khansa is present in person Mr. Ali Raza Baloch, Additional Advocate General Sindh Respondents namely Aftab Ali, Ghulam Hyder, Abdul Jabbar, Barkat, Zakir Ali, Mehran, Liaquat Ali and Balach Khan Shahwani are present in person

.-.-.-

Statements filed by private respondents No.6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 14 and 15, is taken on record. Official respondent No.2 and 3 i.e SSP Ghotki and SHO Police Station A-Section, Ghotki have also submitted their statement, which is also taken on record.

It is the case of petitioners that petitioner No.1/Mst. Khansa has contracted marriage with petitioner No.2/Altaf Ali and private respondents being annoyed of such marriage, are harassing the petitioners, therefore, they require some protection of law.

Official respondents No.2 and 3, i.e SSP Ghotki and SHO Police Station A-Section, Ghotki, in their statement, submitted that neither they have harassed the petitioners nor they intend to do so. They further submitted that the protection as required under the law, will be provided to the petitioners. Learned AAG assured that the official respondents will act in accordance with law. The private respondents in their statements also denied to have harassed the petitioners. They too submitted that neither they have harassed the petitioners nor intend to do so.

However, petitioner Mst. Khansa present in person submits that she has grave apprehension from the hands of private respondents as they held a Jirga and imposed penalty. Therefore, the official respondents are directed to provide protection to the petitioners in accordance with law, as and when they need. SSP Ghotki is directed to look into the matter personally and if any jirga is held, then to register the FIR against those responsible. AAG is directed to provide protection to the petitioner through police guards till destination of the petitioner because she has apprehension from the private respondents, available in the Court.

The instant petition is **disposed of** in above terms.