

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR
Constt: Petition No.D-537 of 2025

| | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of hearing | Order with signature of Judge |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|

- Hearing of case**
1. For orders on office objection
2. For hearing of CMA No.2431/2025 (S/A)
3. For hearing of main case

15-5-2025

Mr. Ali Raza Baloch, Additional A.G Sindh
-.-.-.-.-

None present for the petitioner, nor has any intimation been received. Mr. Khuda Bux Chohan, Advocate files Vakalatnama on behalf of Municipal Commissioner / Respondent No.3, which is taken on record.

Learned AAG has placed on record a copy of the order dated 29.11.2023, passed in C.P. No. D-937 of 2021, wherein the petition, based on similar circumstances, was dismissed by this Court. The relevant paragraphs Nos. 2, 3 and 4 of the said order are reproduced as under:-

- “2. Reports were called and the compliance report has been submitted by the Secretary Law dated 12.10.2023, wherein it has been stated that scheme under Rule 11-A of the Sindh Civil Servants (Appointment, Promotion & Transfer) Rules, 1974, is not applicable to the case of the petitioner because petitioner’s father was a public servant employed in Municipal Corporation, Sukkur and not a civil servant, and therefore, his application has been declined.
3. Learned Counsel for petitioner insists that still he has got a cause of action because so many other persons much like petitioner have been appointed. This would hardly make contempt application maintainable because this petition was simply disposed of by directing respondent No.5 to decide

application of the petitioner, which has already been done and compliance report is submitted, and no proof that any other person has been appointed, has been placed on record. No contempt of this Court's order is made out.

4. Accordingly, the contempt application is **dismissed** along with other listed applications. However, relevant respondents may consider the case of the petitioner on humanitarian ground.

The claim of the petitioner in the present petition is also similar in nature, therefore, this petition being not maintainable is hereby dismissed along with listed applications.

J U D G E

J U D G E