ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.

Cr. Bail Appl. No.57 of 2025

(Tanveer Abbas & another vs. The State)

Cr. Bail Appl. No.610 of 2025

(Ali Akbar **vs.** The State)

Cr. Bail Appl. No.540 of 2025

(Sameer & Naik Zaman vs. The State)

Date	Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of Bail Application.

24.03.2025.

Mr. Aroon Parsad, advocate for applicant in Cr. B.A. No.540/2025

M/s. Malik Sohail Raza and Noor Khan, advocate for applicant in Cr. B.A. No 57/2025

Mr. Shafique Ahmed, advocate for applicant in Cr. B.A. No.610/2025

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J: Complainant has registered current FIR against unknown persons for abducting his minor son, namely, Saleemullah aged about 13/14 years on 14.05.2024. The boy was found after one month in Hangoo in the home of his grandfather. He was brought in Karachi and his 164 CrPC statement was recorded in which he has narrated the entire story of how he was abducted from Karachi by a motorcyclist, who committed sodomy with him and handed over him to different persons, who after committing sodomy with him transferred him to other persons for the same purpose. In the story, he has implicated many people, who initially promised to get him a job somewhere but then committed sodomy with him. Finally, he was released and he reached home of his grandfather in Hangoo.

- 2. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that names of applicants are not mentioned in the FIR, nor they have been implicated by the victim in his u/s 164 Cr.PC statement. They have been implicated on the basis of a statement of co-accused, namely, Bahadar Shah, in whose favour complainant has sworn an affidavit in the Court declaring him innocent, however, the Court has not accepted the same. The case against the applicants is of further inquiry as they have not been directly implicated by the complainant or victim.
- 3. Learned Assistant Prosecutor General (**APG**) has opposed bail on the ground that offence is heinous one; however, he has not denied that applicants have been implicated in this case on the basis of statement of co-accused.
- 4. Therefore, in view of such fact, the case against the applicants requires further inquiry, as *prima facie*, neither the complainant, nor victim have

arraigned them in the case. Consequently, these bail applications are allowed and they are granted bail subject to their furnishing a solvent surety in the sum of Rs.50,000/ (Rupees fifty thousands) each with P.R. bonds in the like amount to be executed to the satisfaction of the trial Court

5. The bail applications are disposed of in the above terms; the findings made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

Office to place a copy of this order in connected matters.

JUDGE

Rafiq/P.A