IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No. D- 236 of 2025

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For orders on office objections.
 For hearing of main case.

# 16.04.2025.

Mr. Shehriyar Imdad Awan, AAG. \*\*\*\*\*

None present for the petitioner nor any intimation received. Prayer clauses of the petition reflects that petitioner is seeking appointment of JEST in Taluka Lakhi District Shikarpur which is outside the jurisdiction of this Court.

Under such circumstances this petition is dismissed, however, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the proper Bench for redressal of her grievance.

JUDGE

JUDGE

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S- 86 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
	Fresh case.
	<ol> <li>For orders on MA No.4061/2021</li> <li>For hearing of main case.</li> </ol>

#### <u>22.04.2022.</u>

None present. Same was position on the last date of hearing. It appears that the instant Crl. Acquittal Appeal was presented on 17-07-2021, thereafter the appellant or his counsel have not pursued it diligently, which shows that appellant and his counsel have lost their interest; resultnatly the instant Crl. Acquittal Appeal stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Judge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Appeal No. S- 132 of 2010 Criminal Appeal No. S- 51 of 2012

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

# <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Qurban Ali Malano, Advocate for appellants. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Appellant is present on bail. Mr. Malano counsel for appellant requests for time as he is unwell. Request is allowed and the matter is adjourned to **25.04.2022 at 9.30 a.m.** Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned matter.

Judge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Bail Application No. S- 127 of 2022

Date of hearingOrder with signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

# 18.04.2022.

Mr. Muhammad Shakeel Lakho Advocate for Applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Applicant/accused Muhammad Mithal Lakho is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas Mr. Ameer Hussain Solangi Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant Naveed Ali which is taken on record. He requests time to produce ledger book of sale and purchase of wheat. At his request matter is adjourned to **16.05.2022**. In the meanwhile trial Court is directed to examine the material witnesses and submit progress report on the next date of hearing.

Judge

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 200 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge
---

Priority case.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of main case.
- 3. For hearing of MA No.1583/2022 (stay)

## <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-.

Mr. Nawab Ali Pitafi Advocate files vaklatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 which is taken on record. Statement along with copy of FIR Crime No. 109/2022 has been filed on behalf of SHO of Police Station Daharki which is also taken on record. Since the FIR has been registered as such instant Criminal Misc. Application has become infructuous. Accordingly, the same is dismissed as having infructuous.

Judge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 199 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

Priority case.

- 4. For hearing of main case.
- 5. For hearing of MA No.1580/2022 (stay)

## <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar, Advocate for Applicant.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-.

Mr. Mushtaque Ahmed Lund Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 along with relevant documents which is taken on record. Statement has also been filed on behalf of SHO Police Station Khanpur Mahar which is also takenon record.

Adjourned to **09.05.2022.** In the meanwhile interim order to continue till next date of hearing.

Judge

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Appeal No. S- 05 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
0	

For Directions.

## <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-.

None present for appellants, no intimation received. Mr. Zulifqar Ali Panhwar Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant which is taken on record. Let notice be issued to appellants and intimation notice to their counsel for the next date. Learned counsel for appellants is directed to deposit the costs for preparation of paper book.

Adjourned.

Judge

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Misc Application No. S- 182 of 2022

]	Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For orders on MA 1525/2022 (Ex)
- 3. For hearing of main case.

### <u>18.04.2022.</u>

None present for applicant, no intimation received. Same was position on the last date of hearing. It appears that either the alleged detenues have been recovered and the grievance of the applicant has been redressed or the applicant has lost interest to pursue with the Criminal Misc. Application. Accordingly, the same is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Judge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Bail Application No. S- 150 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
2000 01 11000 110	

4. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.

5. For hearing of bail application.

# <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Ashoke Kumar K. Jamba, Advocate for Applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Mr. Abdul Mujeeb Shaikh Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant Ranjeet Kumar which is taken on record. He requests time to prepare his brief. Learned counsel for applicant/accused files statement along with some documents which I also taken on record.

Adjourned to **25.04.2022.** 

Judge

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR** Criminal Bail Application No. S- 127 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

### <u>18.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Muhammad Shakeel Lakho Advocate for Applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Applicant/accused Muhammad Mithal Lakho is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas Mr. Ameer Hussain Solangi Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant Naveed Ali which is taken on record. He requests time to produce ledger book of sale and purchase of wheat. At his request matter is adjourned to **12.05.2022**. In the meanwhile trial Court is directed to examine the material witnesses and submit progress report on the next date of hearing.

Judge

# IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

C.P No. S-28 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

For hearing of main case.

## <u>14.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Rukhsar Ahmed Junejo, Advocate for Petitioner. Mr. Saeed Ahmed Wassan, AAG.

-.-.-

Inspector Javed Iqbal on behalf of S.S.P Sukkur files statement along with annexures, which is taken on record. As per contents of the statement, the police officials nominated in FIR No.98 of 2021 under sections 452, 364, 302 PPC are on interim pre-arrest bail granted by learned 2<sup>nd</sup> Additional Sessions Judge Sukkur on 01.04.2022. After going through the statement and annexures, counsel for petitioner has shown his satisfaction and does not press the instant constitutional petition, which is accordingly **dismissed as not pressed**.

Judge

IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR

Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 116 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
	5

For hearing of case.

- 1. For orders on office objection.
- 2. For hearing of main case.

# <u>14.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Ghulamullah Memon, Advocate for applicant.

-.-.-

Mr. Abdul Sattar G. Luhrani Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of respondent No.1 which is taken on record. He requests time to prepare his brief. At his request matter is adjourned to **28.04.2022**.

Judge

C.P NO. 5- 59 01 2022		C.P NO. 5- 59 01 2022
	Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
	Date of meaning	Older with Signature of Judge

#### IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR C.P No. S- 59 of 2022

Hearing of case.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of main case.

## <u>07.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. Shehriyar Imdad Awan, Assistant Advocate General Sindh along with DSP Abdul Razak Bugti SDPO Kandiyaro and SIP Ali Akber SHO of Police Station Kandiaryo.

-.-.-

The written statements filed on behalf of official respondents Nos. 2 to 4 are unsatisfactory. On the last date of hearing a detailed order was passed in which certain allegations were made by the petitioner against SHO Ali Akber Khokhar of Police Station Kandiyaro but S.S.P failed to conduct enquiry against him. In such circumstances S.S.P Naushehro Feroze is directed to conduct enquiry against SIP Ali Akber Khokhar SHO of Police Station Kandiyaro and submit report on the next date of hearing.

Today SDPO Abdul Razak Bugti SDPO Kandiyaro is present and requests for date as S.S.P Naushehro Feroze is unwell.

Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi Advocate appearing on behalf of petitioner submits that still SHO of Police Station Kandiyaro is issuing threats to the petitioner for committing his half fry case and has refused to return his motorcycle.

In the view of above, S.S.P Naushehro Feroze is directed to provide protection to the petitioner and his family members and to ensure that no harm shall be caused to the petitioner by SHO of Police Station Kandiaro. It is made clear that if any harm is caused to petitioner then S.S.P Naushehro Feroze shall himself be responsible. S.S.P Naushehro Feroze and SHO Police Station Kandiyaro shall appear in person on the next date of hearing along with enquiry report. Office is directed to provide copy of this order to Additional Advocate General Sindh as well as fax to S.S.P Naushehro Feroze today for information and compliance.

To come up on **11.04.2022 at 11.00 a.m.** 

Judge

### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S-42 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

# 07.04.2022.

Mr. Ghulam Mujtaba Jakhar, Advocate for Appellant.

Mr. Munawar Ali Shaikh, Advocate for respondent No.3.

-.-.-

Mr. Saeed Jamal Lund Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf f respondent No.1 Nadeem while Mr. Waseem Shahbaz Shar files vaklatnama on behalf of respondent No.2 which are taken on record. Report has also been filed on behalf of SHO of Police Station Sobhodero which is also taken on record.

At this juncture, appellant/complainant Miss Aliza daughter of Irshad Hattar submits that still the respondents/ accused persons are threatening her and she is unable to pass her regular life. The respondents are present in Court and they are warned to be careful in future and not to cause any kind of harassment to the appellant/complainant while SHO of Police Station Sobhodero is directed to provide protection to the appellant/complainant, if any harm is caused to the appellant/complainant Miss Aliza daughter of Irshad bycaste Hattar, then SHO of Police Station Sobhodero shall be responsible for all consequences.

Adjourned to **21.04.2022.** 

Judge

### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-17 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Disposal Matter.

For hearing of MA 2646/2021 (Contempt) For hearing of MA 4132/2021 (directions)

# <u>07.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Shar, Advocate for applicant.

Syed Sardar Ali Shah, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for applicant submits that now the matter is fixed by Hon'ble Supreme Court as such requests for time. Time is allowed.

Adjourned to a date in office.

Judge

### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-103 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

1. For orders on MA 949/2022 (Ex)

- 2. For hearing of main case.
- 3. For orders on MA 950/2022 (stay)

#### <u>07.04.2022.</u>

None present. Same was the position on the last date of hearing. It appears that applicant and his counsel have lost interest to pursue the matter resultant instant Criminal Misc. Application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

Judge

### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-24 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

4. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.

5. For orders on MA 181/2022 (Ex)

6. For hearing of main case.

7. For orders on MA 182/2022 (stay)

# <u>07.04.2022.</u>

Haji Shamsuddin Rajper, Advocate for applicants.

-.-.-.

After arguing the matter at length, learned counsel for applicants does not press the instant Criminal Misc. Application and submits that the applicants will avail the remedy in accordance with law before the trial Court, which is accordingly dismissed **as not pressed**.

Judge

## <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No.S-88 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of Bail Application.

# 07.04.2022.

Mr. Abdul Rasheed Kalwar, Advocate for applicant/accused.

Mr. Khalid Jan Chand Advocate for complainant.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-

Learned Additional Prosecutor General requests time to call police papers. Let I.O of crime No. 107/2021 of Police Station Mirpur Mathelo shall be in attendance along with police papers on the next date.

To come up on **25.04.2022.** 

Judge

## <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No.S-64 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of Bail Application.

# 07.04.2022.

 $Mr. \ Abdul \ Gha foor \ Jiskani, \ Advocate \ for \ applicant/accused.$ 

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-

Applicant/accused is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas complainant Pervaiz Ahmed Shar is called absent. In the interest of justice adjourned to **25.04.2022.** 

Judge

#### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR C.P No. D- 171 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
0	0 0

For hearing of case.

- 1. For orders on office/objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For orders on CMA No. 783/2022 (Ex)
- 3. For hearing of main case.

### 05.04.2022.

Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi Advocate/Petitioner present in person.

Mr. Muhammad Junaid Akram Malik, Advocate for respondent No.10.

Mr. Mumtaz Ali Gopang, Assistant Attorney General along with Akhlaque Nabi Dayo Project Director M-6 and Mr. Muhammad Arshad Deputy Driector (Legal).

-.-.-

The case of petitioner is that Government of Pakistan and Government of China entered in the agreement for rebuilt of economy of Pakistan and given the name as China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC) which is a collection of infrastructure projects that are under construction throughout Pakistan beginning in 2013 at that time the original value was \$47 billion, the value of CPEC projects is worth \$62 billion as of 2020. As per short term Project the Sukkur to Hyderabad Motorway was to be constructed and the cost of project prepared in the year 2016-2017. At the relevant time the cost of un-approved project was shown Rs.163000.000 and the record reflects that Sukkur-Hyderabad motorway showing land acquisition approved in the year 2014. In the year 2018 National Highway Authority Pakistan (NHA) invited proposal regarding the design, Traffic studies, Commercial Feasibility Study and Bid Evaluation/Bid Comparative Analysis for Hyderabad-Sukkur Motorway project on Built-Operate-Transfer (BOT) Basis under Public Private Partnership (PPP) Arrangement. Learned counsel /Petitioner also submits that due to non-construction of motorway, the National Highway Authority also not repairing the road leading from Sukkur to Hyderabad which is presently in worst condition and the people/public at large is suffering badly and there is

big cry in the public at large, when NHA is collecting Toll tax from the vehicles.

The respondents have not started the construction of Motorway Sukkur to Hyderabad M-6 and National Highway Authority invited another Bid in the year 2020 but no fruitful result has neither come on record nor the respondents started any development for construction of Sukkur-Hyderabad Motorway.

After institution of the matter, the notices were issued to the respondents as well as DAG. On last date of hearing viz. 08.03.2022 Project Director M-6 appeared who filed certain documents and he has assured that required work will be started at the site as soon as possible. However, the Court noted that earlier this project was halted due to unknown reasons and it is again started but as a result whereof the cost of the Project has enormously increased.

Today Akhlauqe Nabi Dayo Project Director M-6 and Muhammad Arshad Deputy Director (Legal) are present and state that now the total cost of the project is 308,194 Millions. It is surprising to note here that in between 2016-17 and year 2022 the difference of the cost is 145194 Million and in such circumstances the loss has occurred in the project near about 145194. The statement filed today by respondent No.7 is not satisfactory because no reason has been shown in the statement that why the project has been delayed.

In the circumstances, let notice be issued to Respondent No.2 (Secretary of Communication) Government of Pakistan Islamabad and Respondent No.7 (Chairman National Highway Authority Islamabad) who are directed to appear in person on the next date along with their comprehensive reports. However, notice shall also be issued to Director General NAB Sukkur.

To come up on 13.04.2022 at 11.00 a.m.

Judge

#### <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 767 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
	·

Fresh case.

4. For orders on office/objection at Flag 'A'.

5. For orders on MA No.6590/2021 (Ex)

6. For hearing of main case.

#### <u>01.04.2022.</u>

Mr. Mehfooz Ahmed Awan, Advocate for Applicant.

After arguing at length learned counsel for the applicant submits that he will not press the instant Criminal Misc. Application and will file direct complaint before the Court of law having jurisdiction.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, learned Additional P.G present in Court in some other cases, waived notice of this Criminal Misc. Application and raised no objection .

In view of the above, **instant Criminal Misc. Application is disposed of.** However, learned counsel for the applicant is at liberty to file direct complaint before the Court of law having jurisdiction.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S- 90 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of Bail Application.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of Bail Application.

## <u>31.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G

Mr. Alam Sher Bozdar Advocate present and states that accused has got taken away his file and he is no more an advocate on behalf of applicant/accused. He submits that his name may be deleted from filer cover as well as cause list. Order accordingly.

Since no one is in attendance on behalf of applicant/accused and no intimation is received. It appears that applicant has lost interest in the matter and he does not want to proceed the matter, as such the instant bail application is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Jail Appeal No. S- 62 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For Directions.

# 24.03.2022.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

This Criminal Jail Appeal has been filed by appellant through Senior Superintendent Central Prison & Correctional Facility Hyderabad and the office was directed to prepare paper book on State expenses.

Since accused is in Jail and as per office note till yet paper book costs has not been deposited as such office of D.A.G is directed to pay the required costs for preparation of paper book. After preparation of paper book the matter shall be listed after notice to all concerned.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Revision Application No. S-84 of 2019

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

# 24.03.2022.

Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar, Advocate for applicants.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

-.-.-

Applicants are present. One Muhammad Shareef who claims to be nephew of complainant is present and requests for a date on the ground that his counsel is busy before another Court of this bench. At his request matter is adjourned to **25.04.2022.** 

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Jail Appeal No. S-91 of 2019

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge	Э
---	---

For hearing of main case.

## 24.03.2022.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

Mr. Muhammad Zohaib Azam Advocate was appointed as an advocate for pauper accused/appellant Allah Dino alias Dino Bhatti on State expenses but today he is called absent. Record reflects that on last so many dates of hearing he is not appearing to proceed with the matter.

In view of above, Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar Advocate is appointed as an advocate for pauper accused/appellant Allah Dino alias Dino Bhatti on State expenses on payment of Rs. 30,000/- (thirty thousand) as professional fee which will be paid by the office of Prosecutor General Sindh. Office is also directed to supply the copy of paper book to advocate for pauper accused for preparation. Office shall send copy of this order to Prosecutor General Sindh at Karachi by fax for information and compliance.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Jail Appeal No. S-128 of 2019

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
	<ol> <li>For orders on MA No.6937/2021 (U/S 345 (6) Cr.P.C</li> <li>For orders on MA No.6958/2021 (Permission to Compromise)</li> <li>For orders on MA No.5815/2019 (426 Cr.P.C)</li> <li>For orders on MA No.5398/2019 (Suspension)</li> <li>For hearing of main case.</li> </ol>
<u>24.03.2022.</u>	

Mr. Fayyaz Ahmed Rajper, Advocate for appellants.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for appellants submits that the legal heirs of the deceased appeared before the Court of learned Ist. Additional Sessions Judge (MCTC) Ghotki for recording of their statements but the Court had informed that Court had already sent the relevant papers to this Court as such requests that learned trial Court may be directed to record the statements of legal heirs namely Allah Rakhio and Mst. Aghi, the real father and mother of deceased Mst. Zareena. As such office is directed to re-send both the applications to learned trial Court for recording statements of Allah Rakhio and Mst. Aghi legal heirs of deceased and after recording of their statements the trial Court shall submit fresh report regarding legal heirs of deceased and compromise arrived at between the parties.

To come up on **11.04.2022.** 

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Crl. Jail Appeal No. Sof 2020

Crl. Jail Appeal No. S- 27 of 2020

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

# <u>24.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Amanullah Bugti, Advocate for appellant.

Mr. Abdul Ahad Buriro, Advocate for complainant.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

-.-.-.

At the joint request matter is adjourned to a date in office. Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned matter.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Crl. Acquittal Appeal No. S- 94 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

1. For orders on office objection at flag 'A'.

- 2. For hearing of MA No.4552/2021
- 3. For hearing of main case.

# 24.03.2022.

Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional P.G.

-.-.-.

None present for the appellant. At this juncture learned Additional P.G submits that instant Acquittal Appeal is time barred for about four months and requests that it may be dismissed being not maintainable.

As an indulgence and in the interest of justice matter is **adjourned to a date in office**, however, counsel for appellant/complainant is directed to satisfy the Court on the maintainability of this Criminal Acquittal Appeal on the next date of hearing.

JUDGE

# <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Crl. Misc. Application No. S- 116 of 2022

Date of hearing         Order with signature of Judge
---

4. For orders on office objection at flag 'A'.

5. For hearing of main case.

## 24.03.2022.

Mr. Ghulamullah Memon, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, D.P.G.

-.-.-.

In pursuance of notice issued by this Court, respondent No.1 Haji Abdul Ghaffar is present and needs time to engage his counsel. At his request matter is adjourned.

It is pointed out by counsel for applicant that file of Criminal Misc. Application No.S-817 of 2021 has no relevancy along with instant Criminal Misc. Application as such office is directed to de-tag file of Criminal Misc. Application No.S-817 of 2021 from this file which may be consigned to record.

To come up on **14.04.2022.** 

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Crl. Appeal No. S- 53 of 2021 Crl Rev. Appln No. S- 81 of 2021

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

For non-prosecution.

# <u>18.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Zulifqar Ali Panhwar, Advocate for Appellants in Cr. Appeal No.S-53 of 2021 and for complainant in Crl. Ev. Application No.S-81 of 2021.

Mr. Nusrat Hussain Memon Advocate for applicant/complainant in Crl.Rev.Appln.No.S-81 of 2021 as well as in Crl. Appeal No.S-53 of 2021.

Syed Sardar Ali Shah Additional P.G.

-.-.-.

Appellants are present on bail. By consent matter is adjourned to

28.03.2022. Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned matter.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S- 64 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

# <u>17.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Abdul Ghafoor Jiskani, Advocate for applicant/accused. Mr. Shafi Muhammad Mahar, D.P.G.

-.-.-.

Applicant/accused is presnet on interim pre-arrest bail. Learned counsel for complainant is called absent while learned counsel for applicant/accused submits that now the case is fixed for evidence before the trial Court. In the interest of justice matter is adjourned to **07.04.2022.** Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the matter and conclude the same preferrably within 30 days.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Appeal No. S- 87 of 2021 Criminal Appeal No. S- 88 of 2021 Criminal Appeal No. S- 89 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

1. For hearing of main case.

2. For orders on MA NO.6164/2021

### <u>10.03.2022.</u>

Syed Murad Ali Shah Advocate for complainant.

-.-.-.

None present for the appellants. Adjourned. Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned connected appeals.

JUDGE

# <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Jail Appeal No.S-41 of 2020

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

#### <u>10.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Alam Sher Bozdar, Advocate for appellant. Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.

In response to notice issued against complainant returned served uponcomplainant Shamsuddinwho is present and seeks time to engage an advocate. Time is granted. Adjourned.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No.S-809 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge	

For hearing of Bail Application.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of Bail Application.

#### <u>10.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.

Applicant is present on interim pre-arrest bail. Mr. Naimat Ali Shah Advocate holding brief for Mr. Qurban Ali Malano counsel for applicant and requests for adjournment on the ground that latter is busy before another bench of this Court. At his request matter is adjourned to **21.03.2022**.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR C.P No. S- 247 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Priority case.

1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.

2. For hearing of main case.

#### <u>07.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Bakhshan Khan Mahar, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.

On the last date of hearing respondents Nos. 2 to 5 filed progress report and sought time for the arrest of the nominated accused.

Today Inspector Noor Muhammad S.D.PO Mirpur Mathelo on behalf of S.S.P Ghotki is present and files progress report and states that two of the nominated accused namely Sadam Hussain and Abdul Lateef were already arrested, however, for the rest absconding accused in crime No.15/2021 for the offences punishable under sections 302, 337-A(i), F(i), 147,148,149 , 114, 337-H(ii) PPC of Police Station Khanpur,they are taking sincere efforts for their arrest as such this petition has achieved its object and the purpose of filing this petition has been achieved, with the result the **instant petition is disposedof** with directions to the official respondents to arrest the absconding accused and submit such report before the concerned trial Court.

#### JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 688of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Priority case.

3. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.

4. For hearing of main case.

5. For hearing of CMA 5630/2021 (stay)

#### 07.03.2022.

Mr. Saeed Ahmed Chachar, Advocate for respondent No.4. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.

Mr. Ali Raza Balouch Advocate holds brief for Mr. Ghulam Shabbir Shar counsel for applicants and states that latter is busy before another Bench of this Court. At his request matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

## <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 615of 2021

Priority case.

- 6. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 7. For hearing of main case.
- 8. For hearing of CMA 4982/2021 (stay)

#### <u>07.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Saeed Ahmed Chachar, Advocate for respondent No.4. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-.

Mr. Ali Raza Balouch Advocate holds brief for Mr. Ghulam Shabbir Shar counsel for applicants and states that latter is busy before another Bench of this Court. At his request matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 06 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
Date et floating	

Disposed of Matter For Directions Report of learned District & Sessions Judge Khairpur received at Flag 'A'

# 07.03.2022.

This is a disposed of matter. Report received from learned District & Sessions Judge Khairpur which is taken on record. No further order is required.

JUDGE

# <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 96of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For non-prosecution. (Copies not supplied for issuing notice to other side)

### <u>07.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Mehboob A. Zardari, Advocate for Applicant.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for applicants seeks time to comply with office objection. At his request one week time is allowed. Adjourned.

JUDGE

# <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Revision Application No. S- 14 of 2022

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

For non-prosecution. (Office objection not complied with)

### <u>07.03.2022.</u>

Haji Shamsuddin Rajper Advocate for applicants.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for applicants seeks time to comply with office objection. One week time is allowed. Adjourned.

JUDGE

# <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Revision Application No. S- 14of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For non-prosecution. (Office objection not complied with)

### 07.03.2022.

Haji Shamsuddin Rajper Advocate for applicants.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for applicants seeks time to comply with office objection. One week time is allowed. Adjourned.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S- 80 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of Bail Application.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
- 2. For hearing of Bail Application.

#### <u>07.03.2022.</u>

Mr. Abdul Majeed Memon, Advocate for applciant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-

Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant Muhammad Asghar, which is taken on record. He seeks time to prepare his brief. At his request matter is adjourned to **24.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## <u>ORDER SHEET</u> IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 74 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

- 3. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
- 4. For hearing of main case. (Notice issued to counsel for applicant)

#### 04.03.2022.

Applicant/accused No.1 Illahi Bakhsh is present and requests for adjournment on the ground that counsel for applicants/accused is out of station. At his request, matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S- 24 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

- 5. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
- 6. For order son MA No.181/2022 (Ex)
- 7. For hearing of main case.
- 8. For orders on MA No.182/2022 (stay)

# 04.03.2022.

Haji Shamsuddin Rajper, Advocate for applicants.

-.-.-.

Learned counsel for the applicants requests time to produce certain documents. Time is allowed.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S- 114 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

9. For orders on MA No.5608/2021

10. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'

11. For hearing of main case.

### <u>04.03.2022.</u>

On the last date of hearing viz. 11.02.2022 following order was passed.

"None present for the appellant and same was the position on the last date of hearing. In the interest of justice matter is adjourned to a date in office with note of caution that if learned counsel for the appellant faisl to appear or avoids proceeding with the matter on the next date, then matter shall be heard and decided in accordance with law."

Today position is the same, neither the appellant/complainant is present nor his counsel is in attendance and no intimation received which shows that either the appellant/complainant has settled his dispute with the private respondents outside of this Court or he has no interest to pursue the matter, resultantly instant Criminal acquittal Appeal is dismissed for non-prosecution.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S- 99 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

- 12. For orders on MA No.4952/2021
- 13. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
- 14. For orders on MA No.4953/2022 (Ex)
- 15. For hearing of main case.

### <u>04.03.2022.</u>

Haji Shamsuddin Rajper Advocate holds brief on behalf of Mr. Achar Khan Gabol counsel for appellant/complainant, requests for adjournment on the ground that latter is busy before another Court of this bench. At his request matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-683 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of case.

1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.

2. For hearing of main case.

### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Dilawar Ali Jatoi, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

Learned counsel for applicant states that DNA samples were taken away by the Investigating Officer but such report has not yet been filed. Investigating Officer is directed to collect DNA report and submit the same on the next date of hearing. In case Investigating Officer fails to submit such report on the next date he shall appear in person along with explanation.

To come up on **21.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-673 of 2021 Criminal Misc. Application No.S-674 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of case.

- 3. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 4. For hearing of main case.

### <u>28.02.2022.</u>

Mr. Shabbir Ali Bozdar, Advocate for applicant in Crl. Misc. Application No.S-673 and S-674 of 2021.

Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional P.G.

-.-.-

On the last date of hearing progress report was called from trial Court but the same has not yet been received as such once again trial Court is directed to submit progress report in the matter for the next date of hearing.

To come up on 28.03.2022. Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned matter.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No.S-281 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Rashid Ali Durrani, Advocate along with applicant. Mr. Zulifqar Ali Jatoi, Additional Prosecutor General.

Learned counsel for applicant is directed to amend title of the Criminal Misc. Application and insert names of proposed accused against whom he intends to register FIR.

Adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S- 32 of 2022 Criminal Bail Application No. S- 40 of 2022 Criminal Bail Application No. S- 44 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

16. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'

17. For hearing of bail application.

### 28.02.2022.

M/s Irfan Ahmed Soomro and Ali Asghar K. Panhyar, Advocates for the applicants.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G.

Complainant Mst. Heerzadi Shaikh present in person.

-.-.-

Applicants/accused are called absent but their counsel are present. Learned advocates for applicants under instructions do not press the instant Criminal Bail Applications which are accordingly dismissed as not pressed.**Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned Criminal Bail Applications.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR C.P No. S- 177 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

1. For orders on office objection at Flag  $_{\Delta'}$ 

2. For hearing of main case.

## 28.02.2022.

Mr. Alam Sher Bozdar, Advocate for petitioner. Mr. Irshad Ali Ghanghro, Advocate for respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is directed to satisfy the Court about the maintainability of this constitutional petition as F.C suit No. 21/2013 has already been decided by Senior Civil Judge Qambar vide Judgment dated 26.02.2016.

To come up on **24.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Jail Appeal No. S-62 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For Directions.

### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

On the last date of hearing notice was issued to Assistant Director Legal (FIA) Sukkur but today he is called absent, no intimation received. Let notice be issued to Deputy Director F.I.A Sukkur with directions to appear in person on the next date.

To come up on **24.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S-01 of 2022

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

Fresh case.

- 1. For orders on MA No.115/2022 (U/A)
- For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
   For orders on MA No.116/2022 (Ex)
   For hearing of main case

## 28.02.2022.

Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi, Advocate for appellant. -.-.-.

Learned counsel for appellant put on notice to satisfy the Court about the maintainability of this Criminal Acquittal Appeal. He requests time to prepare his brief. At his request matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S-302 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge
---

5. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'

6. For orders on MA No.2747/2021 (Ex)

7. For hearing of main case

## 28.02.2022.

Mr. Ashoke Kumar K. Jamba, Advocate for applicant.

Learned counsel for the applicant under instructions does not press the instant Criminal Misc. Application which is accordingly dismissed as not pressed along with listed application.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-80 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

8. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'

9. For hearing of bail application.

### <u>28.02.2022.</u>

Mr. Abdul Majeed Memon, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G

-.-.-.

Complainant Abdul Rehman Kosh is present and requests time to engage his counsel. Time is granted. At his request adjourned to **07.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-78 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'
 For hearing of bail application.

### <u>28.02.2022.</u>

Mr. Illahi Bakhsh Jamali, Advocate for Applicants. Mr. Ubedullah Malano Advocate for complainant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional P.G

-.-.-

Applicants/accused are present on interim pre-arrest bail. Learned counsel for applicants seeks time to produce certain documents. Time is granted. At the request of counsel for applicants matter is adjourned to **21.03.2022.** In the meanwhile trial Court is directed to expedite the matter and examine the material witnesses.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-57 of 2022

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

12. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'13. For hearing of bail application.

### 28.02.2022.

M/s Barriser Akhter Hussain Shaikh and Athar Hussain Abro, Advocates for applicants.

Mr. Munir Ahmed Siyal, Special Prosecutor FIA.

-.-.-

Applicants/accused are present on interim pre-arrest bail. Complainant Mst. Saima is present. Mr. Ayaz Ahmed Advocate files vakalatnama on behalf of complainant, which is taken on record. Learned Special Prosecutor FIA submits that Investigating Officer of this crime is on leave and result of forensic laboratory is still awaited and requests for time. Time is granted. At his request matter is adjourned to **21.03.2022**.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-809 of 2021

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge

14. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'15. For hearing of bail application.

#### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Aamir Mustafa Kamario, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

Applicant is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas Investigating Officer of Crime No.96 of 2021 of Police Station Adilpur present. Learned Additional Prosecutor General submits that investigation has been completed and the name of applicant/accused has been placed by the I.O in column No.2 of the challan. He requests time on the ground that Investigating Officer has to submit summary before the concerned Magistrate for passing appropriate orders. Time is granted. At the request of learned Additional P.G matter is adjourned to **10.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-809 of 2021

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

16. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'17. For hearing of bail application.

### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Aamir Mustafa Kamario, Advocate for applicant. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

Applicant is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas Investigating Officer of Crime No.96 of 2021 of Police Station Adilpur present. Learned Additional Prosecutor General submits that investigation has been completed and the name of applicant/accused has been placed by the I.O in column No.2 of the challan. He requests time on the ground that Investigating Officer has to submit summary before the concerned Magistrate for passing appropriate orders. Time is granted. At the request of learned Additional P.G matter is adjourned to **10.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-774 of 2021

Date of hearing

Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of bail application.

### 28.02.2022.

Mr. Imran Khan Pathan, Advocate for applicants. Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

Applicants/accused are present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas learned submits that before trial Court the case has been proceeded and accused have been acquitted hence he does not press the instant Criminal Bail Application, which is accordingly dismissed as not pressed. However, office is directed to return surety amount/documents to the surety after proper verification and identification as per rules.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Bail Application No. S-654 of 2021

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of case.

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag 'A'.
- 2. For hearing of main case.

#### <u>28.02.2022.</u>

Mr. Alam Sher Bozdar, Advocate for applicant.

Mr. Aftab Ahmed Shar, Additional Prosecutor General.

-.-.-

Applicant/accused is present on interim pre-arrest bail whereas complainant is called absent. Learned counsel for applicant request time for preparation. Time is granted. At his request adjourned to **07.03.2022.** 

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Appeal No. S-98 of 2021 Criminal Appeal No. S-03 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For Directions.

# 24-02-2022.

Mr. Waqar Ali Phulpoto, Advocate for Appellants in both Criminal Appeals.

Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, D.P.G.

-.-.-

Appellant No.3 Sakhawat Ali in Criminal Appeal No.S-98 of 2021 is present on bail. Let Jail Roll of appellants be called from Central Jail Khairpur.

To come up on 03.03.2022. Office is directed to place a signed copy of this order in the captioned criminal appeal.

JUDGE

## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Misc. Application No. S-07 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

For hearing of main case.

### <u>24-02-2022.</u>

Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, D.P.G.

Respondents Nos. 1 and 2 file their respective reports/statements which are taken on record.

Mr. Imtiaz Ali Abbassi Advocate holding brief for Mr. Khan Muhammad Sangi Advocate for applicant requests for adjournment on the ground that latter learned counsel is busy before another Court. At his request matter is adjourned to a date in office.

JUDGE

# ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH BENCH AT SUKKUR Criminal Acquittal Appeal No. S-10 of 2022

Date of hearing Order with signature of Judge

- 1. For orders on office objection at Flag
  - A For h
- 2. For hearing of main case.

### <u>24-02-2022.</u>

Mr. Illahi Bakhsh Maitlo, Advocate for Appellant. Mr. Khalil Ahmed Maitlo, D.P.G.

-.-.-

In pursuance of notice issued, respondents Nos. 2 and 3 Irsahd Ali and Ayaz Ali are in attendance. Appellant Mst. Shami is also present along with her advocate. Both the parties request time to settle the matter outside the Court. At the request of parties and in the interest of justice matter is adjourned to **03.03.2022**.

JUDGE