## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA C. P. No.D-1451 of 2012 (Asif Ali v. P.O Sindh and others)

DATE	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
OF HEARING	

## BEFORE:

Mr.Justice Muhammad Saleem Jessar. Mr.Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon.

## Date of hearing & Order: 19.03.2025

Mr. Abdul Rehman Bhutto, advocate holds brief for Mr. Athar Abbas Solangi, advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Liaquat Ali Shar, Addl. A. G, Sindh.

## ORDER

-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-,-

Adnan-ul-Karim Memon, J; Petitioner seeks direction to the respondents to issue him the appointment order and allow him to work as a Computer Operator (BPS-12) in pursuance to the offer letter dated 11.12.2012 (Annexure "A").

- 2. The petitioner asserts that he was deemed eligible, received an offer letter for a Computer Operator (BPS-12) position on December 11, 2012, and passed a medical fitness test. However, despite fulfilling all requirements, the respondent (Respondent No. 2) has withheld the appointment letter due to political interference, intending to appoint a politically favored individual instead. The petitioner claims this action is illegal, arbitrary, and violates his rights. He asserts he has repeatedly requested his appointment but received no response. He submits that the respondents' actions are motivated by malice and are against the principles of natural justice and equity. He requests the court to Direct the respondents to issue the appointment order.
- 3. The Special Education Department acknowledges the 2012 advertisements and the petitioner's offer/appointment. However, the 2012 appointment records are currently untraceable. The department states that it has current vacancies for JST and Assistant, and will comply with any court direction to adjust the petitioner into one of those positions.
- 4. At the previous hearing, the Additional Advocate General was questioned regarding the comments submitted by Respondent No. 2,

specifically paragraph 2. He requested time for verification, and today, he has again requested more time. This petition has been pending since February 26, 2012, over thirteen years, and Respondent No. 1 has yet to file comments. The comments from Respondent No. 2 are crucial, and Respondent No. 1's failure to respond suggests a lack of engagement with official government matters.

- 5. We have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record with their assistance.
- 6. Based on the available records, the petitioner appears to have met all requirements and received a valid offer letter. The Secretary of Education must confirm the offer's authenticity. If genuine, the petitioner should be appointed. If not, a reasoned order must be issued after hearing the petitioner within one month. The petition is disposed of accordingly.

JUDGE JUDGE