


ORDER-SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
06.08.2015.	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For orders on M.A. No. 3848/2015.
3. For orders on M.A. No. 3849/2015.
4. For Katcha Peshi.


Mr. Ali Nawaz Ghanghro, Advocate for petitioner.

- ~~~~~
1. Deferred for the time being.
 2. Urgency application granted.
 3. Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.
 4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is put on notice to satisfy this Court on the maintainability of instant petition. To come up on 13.08.2015.



Judge


Judge

1- For orders on office obj. A
2- For ~~Orders~~ ~~Orders~~ M.A. 2511/15 (41A)
3- For Katcha Peshi
4-



THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO
LIBRARY
1000 S. EAST ASIAN BLDG.
CHICAGO, ILL. 60607



ORDER-SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.


Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
20.08.2015.	


1. For orders on office objections.
2. For orders on M.A. No. 2511/2015.
3. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ghulam Rasool Narejo, Advocate for petitioner.

~~~~~


In this matter learned counsel for the petitioner has already been put on notice to satisfy this Court on the maintainability of the petition. However, ~~let~~ pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents, as well as A.A.G and D.A.G for 27.08.2015.


  
Judge

  
Judge

1- For Orders on office Obj. A  
2. For Katcha Peshi

Notice issued to Kesh & A'AG, DAG





ORDER-SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.



|                 |                               |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Date of hearing | Order with signature of Judge |
| 27.08.2015.     |                               |


1. For orders on office objections.
2. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ghulam Rasool M. Narejo, Advocate for petitioner.  
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Chandyo, Addl. A.G.  
Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed Korejo, Standing Counsel.  
Mr. Abdul Majeed, Delimitation Authority Kamber-Shahdadkot.

~~~~~

Mr. Abdul Majeed, Delimitation Authority Kamber-Shahdadkot is present in person and claims copy of the petition. Learned Standing Counsel also claims copy of the petition, to which counsel for petitioner undertakes to provide copies during course of the day. To come up on 10.09.2015. Repeat notice to remaining respondents.


Judge


Judge

1- For orders on office obj. "A"
2- For Katcha Peshi

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA
C.P. No.D-1272 of 2013

&

C.P.No.D-814, 824, 841, 842, 843, 846, 848, 849, 881, 850, 888, 892, 893,
894, 932, 937, 952, 953 & 955, of 2015.



DATE OF HEARING	ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE
--------------------	---------------------------------------

1. For orders on M.A.No.4346/2015.
2. For orders on M.A.No.6172/2015.
3. For Katcha Peshi.
4. For Hearing of M.A.No.6173/2015.

10.9.2015

Messrs Ali Nawaz Ghanghro, Abdul Rehman Bhutto, Ghulam Ali Samtio, Attaullah Brohi, Ghulam Rasool M. Narejo, Muhammad Imran Abbasi, Ghulam Dastagir A. Shahani, Habibullah G. Ghouri, Altaf Hussain Surahiyo, Nisar Ahmed Bhambhro, Safdar Ali Ghouri, Muhammad Saleem 'GN' Jesar, Asif Ali Abdul Razak Soomro, & Rashid Mustafa Solangi, advocates for petitioners.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Chandio, Addl. A. G.

At the out set learned Addl. A. G contends that his Court has passed order dated 8th September 2015 at Sukkur Bench, whereby all the petitions pertaining to "Delimitation" have been transferred to Karachi for placing before the Hon'ble Chief Justice on the plea that in order to avoid the chance of conflicting judgment they may be decided by one and same Bench. We have perused such order and learned counsel for the parties are agreed on this proposition and request for the same.

Accordingly, all the petitions are sent to Principal seat at Karachi for placing before Honourable Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

It is further surfaced that in spite of directions in large number of petitions respondents have failed to file their comments, they shall file the same within one week with advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner.


Judge


Judge



ORDER-SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
06.08.2015.	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For orders on M.A. No. 3848/2015.
3. For orders on M.A. No. 3849/2015.
4. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ali Nawaz Ghangro, Advocate for petitioner.

~~~~~

1. Deferred for the time being.
2. Urgency application granted.
3. Exemption allowed subject to all just exceptions.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner is put on notice to satisfy this Court on the maintainability of instant petition. To come up on 13.08.2015.

Judge

Judge

1. For orders on office Obj. A  
2. For orders on M.A. 2511/2015 (U/A)  
3. For Katcha Peshi

---



ORDER-SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA  
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.

Date of hearing

20.08.2015.

Order with signature of Judge

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For orders on M.A. No. 2511/2015.
3. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ghulam Rasool Narejo, Advocate for petitioner.

~~~~~

In this matter learned counsel for the petitioner has already been put on notice to satisfy this Court on the maintainability of the petition. However, let pre-admission notice be issued to the respondents, as well as A.A.G and D.A.G for 27.08.2015.

Judge

Judge

1- For orders on office obj' 'A'
2, For Katcha Peshi
Notice issued to AAG & DAG & Respondents

ORDER-SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA
Constt. Petition No. D- 843 of 2015.

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
27.08.2015.	

1. For orders on office objections.
2. For Katcha Peshi.

Mr. Ghulam Rasool M. Narejo, Advocate for petitioner.
Mr. Shafi Muhammad Chandyo, Addl. A.G.
Mr. Mushtaq Ahmed Korejo, Standing Counsel.
Mr. Abdul Majeed, Delimitation Authority Kamber-Shahdadkot.

~~~~~

Mr. Abdul Majeed, Delimitation Authority Kamber-Shahdadkot is present in person and claims copy of the petition. Learned Standing Counsel also claims copy of the petition, to which counsel for petitioner undertakes to provide copies during course of the day. To come up on 10.09.2015. Repeat notice to remaining respondents.

Judge

Judge

1- For orders on office obj. 'A'  
2- For Katcha Peshi

---



ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, LARKANA  
C.P. No.D-1272 of 2013

&

C.P.No.D-814, 824, 841, 842, 843, 846, 848, 849, 881, 850, 888, 892, 893,  
894, 932, 937, 952, 953 & 955, of 2015.

|                    |                                       |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| DATE<br>OF HEARING | ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF HON'BLE JUDGE |
|--------------------|---------------------------------------|

1. For orders on M.A.No.4346/2015.
2. For orders on M.A.No.6172/2015.
3. For Katcha Peshi.
4. For Hearing of M.A.No.6173/2015.

10.9.2015

Messrs Ali Nawaz Ghanghro, Abdul Rehman Bhutto, Ghulam Ali Samtio, Attaullah Brohi, Ghulam Rasool M. Narejo, Muhammad Imran Abbasi, Ghulam Dastagir A. Shahani, Habibullah G. Ghouri, Altaf Hussain Surahiyo, Nisar Ahmed Bhambhro, Safdar Ali Ghouri, Muhammad Saleem 'GN' Jesar, Asif Ali Abdul Razak Soomro, & Rashid Mustafa Solangi, advocates for petitioners.

Mr. Shafi Muhammad Chandio, Addl. A. G.

-----

At the out set learned Addl. A. G contends that his Court has passed order dated 8<sup>th</sup> September 2015 at Sukkur Bench, whereby all the petitions pertaining to "Delimitation" have been transferred to Karachi for placing before the Hon'ble Chief Justice on the plea that in order to avoid the chance of conflicting judgment they may be decided by one and same Bench. We have perused such order and learned counsel for the parties are agreed on this proposition and request for the same.

Accordingly, all the petitions are sent to Principal seat at Karachi for placing before Honourable Chief Justice for appropriate orders.

It is further surfaced that in spite of directions in large number of petitions respondents have failed to file their comments, they shall file the same within one week with advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioner.

7

7