## ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI

## <u>Cr.B. A.No.2111 of 2024</u> Cr.B. A.No.2112 of 2024

For hearing of bail application

## 28.10.2024

Mr. Muhammad Riaz, advocate for the applicant. Mr. Abid Hussain, advcoarte for the complainant. Ms. Rahat Ehsan, Addl.PG.

<u>ORDER</u>

**Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro, J**:- There are two FIRs registered against the applicant u/s 489-F PPC. In FIR No.238 of 2024, applicant has issued nine cheques amounting to Rs.1,700,000/- and in FIR No.100 of 2023, applicant has issued two cheques, amounting to Rs.2,500,000/-. The FIRs have been registered by two different persons, in both the FIRs, when the cheques were dishonoured, the FIRs were registered.

2. Prosecution story in both the FIRS is almost identical, that is, applicant and his father invited the complainants, who are caterer to invest in their business of decoration against some profit and against which they issued the subject cheques to them. Neither they paid any profit thereafter, nor returned the amount.

3. In arguments, learned defence counsel has stated that applicant is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case, registration of FIR does not mean that applicant has committed the offence. On the other hand, learned Addl.P.G. and complainant's counsel have opposed the bail application.

4. Learned counsel for the complainant has in fact given a list of at least 17 FIRs lodged by different persons against the applicant and her father under the same offence u/s 489-F PPC. Complainant is also present and has revealed the story of how he was duped by the applicant and her father into giving them money for investment. Prima-facie applicant appears to be habitual in deceiving people in the name of investment in their business. There is sufficient prima facie evidence against the applicant in the shape of dishonourend cheques; investigation and cognizance of offence taken by the trial court. There are at least 17 FIRs registered against the applicant under the same offence. In the facts and circumstances, no case for extra ordinary concession of pre-arrest bail is made out. Applicant is seeking the relief which is m9eant to protect and safeguard rights of innocent persons, falsely implicated in a criminal case.

4. In view of above, these bail applications are dismissed. The observations made here in above are tentative in nature and shall not prejudice right of the parties before the trial Court.

JUDGE

Imran