ORDER SHEET IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT, HYDERABAD

C.P No.D-197 of 2015

DATE ORDER WITH SIGNATURE OF JUDGE

For orders on office objection
For Katcha Peshi.

<u>02.11.2017</u>.

Petitioner present in person. Mr. Muhammad Ismail Bhutto, A.A.G.

Mr. Deedar Hussain Baloch, Advocate, has filed power on behalf of respondents No.10 and 11.

The grievance of the petitioner appears to be alleged inaction on the part of respondent No.1/ Mukhtiarkar Revenue Nanagarparkar to supply him sketch of Deh and Tapo Harho Taluka Nangarparkar and to demarcate the boundaries of Survey No.133 and 136 of Makan Sami Veri he claims ownership of. During arguments the petitioner who is a practicing advocate has not been able to show that for the above said purposes he has ever approached the Director Settlement and Survey Department. When confronted with this fact, he has started urging that the case of respondents No.1, 10 and 11 may be referred to NAB for inquiry, as they are involved in mega corruption.

We are of the view that for the redressal of the grievance articulated by the petitioner here, he has to first approach the Settlement and Survey Department instead of pressing this petition and raising some factual controversies. Apart from above, the petitioner has not been able to show any wrong by the respondents infringing his fundamental rights to justify exercise of constitutional jurisdiction by this court in his favour. His request of referring the case of respondents No.10 and 11 to NAB for inquiry cannot be acceded to in the constitutional jurisdiction as he has not placed any material on record which may prima facie establish the allegations against respondents No.10 and 11.

This petition is dismissed being devoid of merits. The petitioner, however, may approach the authorities concerned for redressal of his grievance, if any. Ali Haider