

**ORDER SHEET  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI  
C.P.No.D-2981 of 2016**

—  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ Order .with signature of Judge \_\_\_\_\_

Present:-**Mr. Justice Muhammad Iqbal Kalhoro**  
**Mr. Justice Adnan-ul-Karim Memon**

MRS. SHAHILA PARVEEN ..... PETITIONER

**VERSUS**

FEDERATION OF PAKISTAN &  
OTHERS ..... RESPONDENTS

\*\*\*\*\*

Mrs. Shahila Parveen, Petitioner in person  
Mr. Khalid Mehmood Siddiqui, Advocate for PIAC

Date of hearing : **24.04.2017**  
Date of Judgment : **05.05.2017**

\*\*\*\*\*

**JUDGEMENT**

**MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO, J.** Petitioner claims to be employee of Pakistan International Airline (PIAC), and working as 'Air-hostess'. She through this petition has prayed for the following reliefs:-

*"a) To direct the respondent No.1 to 10 the legal protection to the petitioner and her family members.*

*b) To retain the respondent No.5 to No.8 not to harass the petitioner illegally unlawfully and without due course of law.*

*c) To direct the respondents to provide legal protection to the petitioner in accordance with law.*

*d) Cost of the petition.*

*e) To retain the respondent No.5 to 8 petitioner not to victimize and they stopped Medical Treatment of me and my family and also my parents medical. They all accused threats that we will not give you medical go to anywhere.....*

*f) inform others they accused are misusing their authorities and still harassing me and my family just because they all accused threats leave the Dr. Ayaz harassment case at work place otherwise you will be in trouble / problems.*

*g) After crimes the respondent No.5 to 8 made fake/bogus cases against of me which is also crime.*

*h) After killing my baby the respondents No.5 to 8 victimize, harass me and my family through strangers threats, using personal contacts to give me fatigue in every department and sending me transfers from one department to another. After all these crimes still they are misusing their authorities.*

i) *To retain the respondents No.5 & 6 they are calling and sending E-mails to my Current Departments that send this lady for mental evaluation and they are sending strangers for harassing me to a normal person sending forcefully for mental treatment which is serious crime just for leaving harassment case.*

j) *The respondents No.6 is sending minutes through support of Director Flight Services, Directors & G.M. (FS) for mental evaluation Dr. Akhund said they are my friends. They never seen me before then how? I have NOC for M. Phil. From my Management PIAC but Dr. Akhund harassing me through his personal contacts.*

k) *to retain respondent No.5 to 6 for giving mental torture, pressure, harassment they are openly doing crimes & PIA Management is not asking about this crimes. There is no departmental / management inquiry against of them. As according to Dr. Akhund (CMO) they all are my friends we can do crimes nobody can do inquiry against of us, nobody can take any action this is Pakistan.*

l) *To retain the respondent No.6 for miss using his authorities.*

m) *Any other further & better relief the honourable Court may deems fit and proper the circumstances of the petition.*

2. In brief, petitioner's case is that being Air-hostess in PIA she is entitled to all facilities including medical ones but due to victimization of Dr. Ayaz Khaskhali/respondent No.5 and alleged harassment of the authorities she lost her baby during pregnancy when she called but Dr. Ayaz Khaskheli/respondent No.5 did not send her ambulance in emergency. When she brought this fact to the notice of respondent No.6/Dr. AQA Akhund in writing, he misused his authority and made her wait outside of his office, it is alleged that he caused her mental torture, physical fatigue, harassment and victimization. She complained of such harassment to the Management, PIA; Chairman, PIA; and to Women Protection Committee but they did not take any action against them. According to her, Dr. Naheed Duadpota/respondent No.7 is also involved in crime of killing of her baby. Further her case is that she filed a complaint before the Federal Ombudsman Islamabad and Provincial Ombudsman at Karachi but all the respondents/accused are running away and are not appearing. Petitioner has also alleged that she and her family are not being provided complete medical facilities as per her entitlement. She has stated that Dr. Naheed Daudpota/respondent No.7 has been insulting her and her family and she put life of her husband in danger by not providing him treatment, and she has been issuing threats that Dr. Ayaz Khaskheli is her brother, therefore, the petitioner should not pursue case against him. Syeda Sarwat / respondent No.8 has also been threatening her to withdraw the case against Dr. Ayaz Khaskheli/ respondent No.5, she had locked her in office room, and had called Union Councilor for harassing

her. She has further alleged that due to behavior of the respondents, she has suffered a lot, her life has been destroyed and she has been transferred, so much so, even she is not being provided medical facilities. In the back drop of above facts, she has prayed for as stated above.

3. We have heard the petitioner in person, and counsel for the PIA. It appears that on the same set of allegations the petitioner has already filed C.P.No.D-3719/2016 and C.P.No.D-4271/2016 against the same respondents. All these allegations are factual in nature and cannot be dealt with by this Court under the constitutional jurisdiction. Learned counsel for the PIA has, however, informed us that against the petitioner a departmental inquiry is being conducted in which she is appearing. In regard to the allegations, the stance of learned counsel for PIA is that the petitioner is in habit of leveling false allegations against the respondents.

4. Be that as it may, we are of view that essentially this petition is against the harassment, victimization etc. allegedly caused by the respondents to the petitioner, therefore, while disposing of this petition, we direct the respondents not to cause any harassment to the petitioner and they shall act strictly in accordance with the law. In regard to the allegations involving factual inquiry, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail a proper remedy in accordance with the law.

Petition stands disposed of in the above terms along with pending application(s)

JUDGE

JUDGE

Rafiq/P.A.