

ORDER SHEET
IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, KARACHI.

Cr. Bail Appl. No.1925 of 2024
(*Muhammad Saqib vs. The State*)

Date	Order with signature of Judge
------	-------------------------------

For hearing of Bail Application.

31.10.2024.

Mr. Abid Ali Chand, Advocate a/w Applicant

Mr. Khadim Hussain, Addl: PG Sindh a/w IO/Inspector, Ali Gohar Soomro, P.S.
Sohrab Goth, Karachi

ORDER

MUHAMMAD IQBAL KALHORO J:- Complainant has alleged in FIR that applicant and others, who are her relatives, abducted her son, namely, Muhammad Yousf, aged about 30 years from a street Lasi Goth, Near Madrsa Ali-Marroof Quran Scheme No.33, Malir, Karachi for unknown reasons on 07.04.2024. However, she registered FIR on 11.04.2024.

2. It is stated by the IO that on 13.04.2024 abductee himself had returned on his own. His 164 Cr.PC statement was recorded on 16.04.2024 in which he has leveled allegations against the applicant and others. However, IO submits, that only scanty evidence was found against the applicant and in CCTV footages, he is not visible. The abductee was subjected to medical examination and only bruises have been found by the Medico Legal Officer on his person.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant has reiterated above facts and circumstances in his arguments, which have been opposed by learned Addl: PG Sindh by relying on 164 Cr.PC statement of abductee.

4. However, the case requires further enquiry in that neither there is a witness of abduction, nor of recovery of abductee. It is also *prima facie* mysterious that as to how abductee himself returned and himself appeared at Police Station. IO has confirmed that although the abductee has alleged that his nude photos were shot by the applicant and others, but no such evidence has been found. In view of the above facts and circumstances, the case for bail is made out and the bail application is allowed and ad-interim pre-arrest bail granted to the applicant vide order dated 26.08.2024 is hereby confirmed on same terms and conditions.

5. Bail application stands disposed of in the above terms. The observations made hereinabove are tentative in nature and would not prejudice case of either party at trial.

J U D G E

Rafiq/P.A