

83

**ORDER SHEET**  
**IN THE HIGH COURT OF SINDH, CIRCUIT COURT LARKANA.**  
**CP No.D- 306 of 2017.**

Date of hearing	Order with signature of Judge
-----------------	-------------------------------

**Directions.**

For orders on office objection- A.

For orders on maintainability.

**01.03.2018.**

Mr. Sajid Hussain Mahessar Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Abdul Hamid Bhurgri Additional Advocate General  
along-with Abdul Rasheed Soomro State Counsel.

-----

This petition is fixed for orders on office objections as well as on maintainability. The case of the petitioners is that they applied for the post of Primary School Teacher (PST) in a process which took place on 26.4.2009 and were declared successful. However they were not appointed because in the appointment process gross violations of the relevant Rules and Regulations were committed by the authorities concerned and they appointed only their favorite<sup>ms</sup>s. Against those alleged violations a number of petitions were filed before this court as well before this court at Sukkur bench in which the cases of those petitioners were referred back to the authorities concerned for reconsideration.


We have heard learned counsel on the point of maintainability. He submits that the petitioners despite being declared successful were not appointed and thereafter they continuously approached the authorities concerned since 2009 but they were kept on false hopes.



On the other hand learned Additional Advocate General has submitted that there is no proof that the petitioners were declared successful or that they approached the respondents for redressal of their grievance before filing of this petition. This petition is hopelessly time barred and no cogent reason has been forwarded by the petitioners for that.

After hearing the parties, we agree with the learned Additional Advocate General that this petition is hopelessly time barred. The alleged process of appointment took place in 2009 and there is no proof that the petitioners were declared successful finally in the said process but they were not appointed. There is no record either that they have been approaching the authorities concerned for redressal of their grievance. That being the position, we are of view that this petition is not maintainable and dismiss it accordingly.

  
JUDGE

  
JUDGE 01-03-2018

S.Ashfaq